


877

To: Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District

As a summer resident of Menauhant in East Falmouth, Massachusetts, (where I live in the wind), I wish to register my positive vote for the proposed wind farm in Nantucket Sound. Action toward ending our total dependence on fossil fuel must begin there. I subscribe to such efforts in Texas.

In the Gulf of Mexico among the many oil well derricks there is a profusion of shrimp and other sea life that now thrive in those environments. I see no danger to ecology or aesthetic views from these great windmills that will help generate energy from an endless natural source.

Sincerely yours,


Harris Garrett
5805 Farquhar Lane
Dallas TX 75209

November 17, 2004

RECEIVED
NOV 24 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

Nov. 23, 2004

878

Karen Adams

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

New England District

696 Virginia Rd.

Concord, MA 01742-2751


Dear Ms. Adams:

I am writing to you about Cape Wind. Nantucket Sound is a bird-resting place on the North Atlantic flyway and provides habitat for many protected species.

Please reconsider whether this site is suitable for development, as it could adversely affect migratory birds.

Sincerely,


Debbie Feldman



NOV 26 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION



Kathy C. Fitzpatrick
70 Beechwood Dr.
Mashpee, MA 02649



879

Kate

RECEIVED

NOV 23 2004

THE MAYNARD OFFICE

Dear Karen Adams,

11-22-04

This note is a request to extend the Nantucket Sound Document Comment Period from 60 days to 180 days so we have adequate time to review this massive document. I feel this is a reasonable request.

Thank you.

Kathy Childs-Fitzpatrick

Edith W. Potter
~~HC-61 Box 140~~ 16 Pimpney mouse Lane
Edgartown, MA 02539

11/22/04

BBU

Dear Sirs,

There is no way that I (or most of the public)
can review the Windfarm Document @ 4000 pages
long. The summary is too short & I question its
accuracy. Please ~~extend~~ the comment period
from 60 days to 180 days.

Thank you.

Sincerely

Edith W. Potter

RECEIVED
NOV 24 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

Dear Mr. Edoms,

BB1

Please require that
"Cape Wind" follow the U.S. FWS
bird research protocol
and take steps to minimize
harm to birds, bats and
marine mammals.
-Thank you. Sincerely,
Roberta Gidel

BBZ

11/20/04

To the Army Corps of Engineers

As residents of Cape Cod, on Buzzards Bay, we have particular knowledge of the area's unique beauty and the extreme and pressing need to protect it for future generations. This recognition drives our total and overwhelming support for the Cape Wind Project. The continued burning of fossil fuels and the resulting harm to human health, the environment and all its inhabitants creates the unquestioned need to sponsor and approve renewable energy sources. Any other considerations, and particularly the shallow aesthetic objection, should be dismissed as irresponsible stewardship. Our generation must take action whenever possible to halt the decline of air quality and the increase in global warming.

We are grateful for the Corps' study and conclusions that the environmental impact of the Project is minimal. We demand that you take the responsible and therefore, mandatory action of approval.

Sincerely,

P.O. Box 193
468 Scraggy Neck Rd.
Cataumet MA 02534

Noelle C. Locke


BB3

To Whom It May Concern: DEAR MS. ADAMS

11/20/2004


I would like to register my vehement objection to the Army Corps of Engineers' review of Cape Wind Associate, LLC's, proposal to industrialize 24 square miles of Nantucket Sound.

The massive project Cape Wind proposes is the first offshore energy project this country has faced. Currently, there are no federal laws that authorize the occupation of the outer continental shelf lands by private developers or that regulate how and where such development is appropriate.

The federal government must first establish guidelines for the review of proposals such as Cape Wind's before any more development takes place. We must develop sensible standards that enable the appropriate federal agency to weigh the benefits of a proposed project against its costs, which potentially include environmental impacts, negative effects on the affected region's economy and degradation of an area's aesthetic values.

These public resources belong to all of us, and it is imperative that sensible laws be passed before any projects are approved. Wealthy private developers should not determine how and where the outer continental shelf will be developed. Without an established process by which the Army Corps of Engineers, or any other federal agency, can objectively and competently review these proposals, any consideration of Cape Wind's proposed wind plant should cease.

Sincerely Yours,


John R. Cassaboon Jr.
5 Willowbrook Ridge
Clinton Corners, NY
12514

Please Do not Contribute to
the Destruction of Nantucket
Sound! Please!

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

BB4

Karen Adams, Project Manager
Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Date 11/23/07

Dear Ms. Adams,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



RECEIVED

NOV 24 2007

REGULATORY DIVISION

U.S. Department of
Homeland Security

**United States
Coast Guard**

U.S. COAST GUARD AUXILIARY

VCP10 (JNR)


Official Business



KAREN ADAMS, PROJECT MANAGER
REGULATORY DIVISION

U S ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
696 VIRGINIA RD
CONCORD, MA 01742

01742+2718



005

November 21, 04

Karen Adams, Project Manager
Army Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Division
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Dear Ms Adams:

I demand that you extend the comment period for the Cape Wind Turbine factory proposed for the middle of Nantucket Sound from 60 days to 180 days.

Surely, you must agree that this is a massive project with far reaching ramifications for navigation, aviation and both ocean and avian wildlife. Once approved and installed, it will be too late to mitigate the damage.

Thank you for your consideration of my demand.

Very truly yours,

Beverly L. Burke

Beverly L. Burke
P.O. Box 1223
Oak Bluffs, MA 02557

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

508. 653-7480
508. 432-7292

886

November 23, '04
33 Circle St.
Sharon.
HA. 01770
Cape cod: 171 Belmont Rd.
West Haverhill.
HA. 02671

Dear Karen,
A mile, or longer Cape Ann
vacationers and Cape homeowners for the last seven
years, to express our debt for the lost
Cape wind farm on Nantucket Sound.
Having seen similar wind farms in
Europe we find them to be neither offensive
to look at ~~nor~~ harmful to the environment.
We see them as an ideal solution to our
energy needs.

Sincerely,
Allan H. Roberts
John H. Roberts, R.D. 1

RECEIVED

NOV 24 1904

REGISTRATION DIVISION

887

JAMES H. BODURTHA
PO BOX 591
COTUIT, MASSACHUSETTS
02635
CHINAG062@MSN.COM

November 21, 2004

Karen Adams, Project Manager
Regulatory Division
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Re: Reference File No. 2004-338-1

Dear Ms. Adams:

The Cape Wind Project proposed for Nantucket Sound obviously has long-term implications for every resident of Cape Cod. Many of these consequences cannot be known because of the experimental nature of the project. When the controversy caused by the proposal is added to the unknowns, I believe incumbent upon the Corps to consider all views carefully and who permit all who wish to comment.

The complexity of the report and the problem suggest that we should bend over backwards to solicit and listen to public comment. Let me give one example where public input would be helpful.

I turn to the description of Horseshoe Shoal in the alternative site analysis. The report states there are "peak currents exceeding two knots," "steep waves" and that the Shoal is a place where "use by very small recreational vessels such as runabouts is limited" because of the severe conditions. The picture is one of a dangerous place where no one goes.

I would observe that whoever wrote this description has never fished Horseshoe Shoal on a June Sunday morning. There is every make and kind of craft on and around the Shoal. I routinely see 50-60 boats. I don't know what the definition of a small runabout is, but most of the boats are in the 18-23 foot range, are "open" (center console) and need only 18-24 inches of water beneath their keels.

RECEIVED
NOV 24 2004
MASSACHUSETTS

Many of these boats also run out to the back side of the Vineyard to fish. Makos, Regulators, Boston Whalers, Edgewater, and Grady Whites, to name a few, in the 21-23 foot range are common, many traveling at 20-30 knots. In short, the picture of a dangerous shoal that is avoided by most folks is a misrepresentation of reality. Reality is that on the weekends in the summer, Horseshoe Shoal is a highway. Many of us use its depth to navigate, and in low visibility traverse the Shoal by choice to stay out of the shipping channels where one can be run down by a ferry.

So I ask you take two steps:

- Schedule at least one public hearing in the Town of Barnstable, the most populated and most impacted municipality on Cape Cod
- Extend the comment period to 180 days so that we can have a chance to make our way through 4,000 pages and so that as many residents as possible have the opportunity to comment

Not to do so comes close to mocking the process and fairness here is imperative and good policy. The public's safety is at stake and should not be dismissed without testimony from all of us who use Horseshoe Shoal frequently.

Very truly yours,
James H. Bodurtha

J. Lowell
501 E. 63rd St. 27 S
NY, NY 10021



♦ JOANNA E. LOWELL ♦

068

November 21, 2004

Dear Ms. Adams,

12 Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

RECEIVED
NOV 24 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

Joanna Lowell

889

November, 20, 2004

Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Cynthia C. Norkin
414 Scraggy Neck Rd
Cataumet, MA 02534


Dear Ms. Kirk-Adams:

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for the proposed Cape Wind Associates, LLC Cape Wind Energy project that was extensively reviewed by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). From reading the executive summary of the Environmental Impact Statement it appears to me that the environmental impacts are inconsequential compared to the very significant benefits that can result from the installation of the Wind Farm in Nantucket Sound.

Residing in an area of the Cape that was affected by the recent oil spill makes one look forward to the day when our dependence on oil is reduced. The oil covered beaches, the oil-soaked loons and other sea birds that struggled in vain to remove oil from their wings are sights that I don't care to witness again. Another sight that I wish I didn't have to experience on a daily basis is the brownish yellow stain that spreads across the horizon from the oil-burning Sandwich power plant. I understand that these clouds contain small particles that lodge deep within the lungs and that the pollution can extend for twenty miles.

I am aware that the United States is running out of oil and gas and have learned that Massachusetts has very few sources of alternative energy. Therefore, it seems to me that a wind farm on the Cape that provides clean energy is a win/ win proposition, and I look forward to the proposed Wind Farm making Massachusetts a leader in the field of alternative energy

Sincerely yours,


Cynthia C. Norkin

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION



GROUP INSURANCE CONCEPTS

Insurance Cost Management

890

Corporate Headquarters:
350 Lincoln Place, Suite 2400
Hingham, MA 02043-1585
(781) 740-9200
Fax (781) 740-0505 / 749-9783
email: gic@gicbenefits.com
www.gicbenefits.com

Tuesday, November 23, 2004


Ms. Karen Adams, Project Manager
Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Dear Ms. Adams,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Peter M. Roth
President & CEO

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

Accident & Health Coverage • Stoploss • 401K • Workers Compensation • Voluntary Programs

Member: NLUA / BLUA / HIAA

Hingham, MA

Boston, MA

Portland, ME

A Division of Group Insurance Brokerage Concepts, Inc.

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

891
Diane R. Klaiber
104 Winding Cove Road
Marston Mills, MA 02648

8.
November 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project. The holiday season is also coming up which even makes the time limit more crucial!

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



RECEIVED
NOV 23 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

092 ✓

11/23/ 2004

Phyllis Coming, 308 Menauhant, Rd, East Falmouth requesting a 180 day comment period.

893

11/22/2004

Marty Feary, POB 1475 of Edgartown, MA 02539 called to urge that we extend the comment period on the DEIS. The project is a “devastating idea”

894

September 18, 2004

Colonel Thomas L. Koning
District Engineer
U.S. Army Corp of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Re: Cape Wind Energy Project
Action ID NAE-2004-338-1
Environmental Impact Report (EOEA File #12643)

Dear Colonel Koning:

In reviewing the findings in the Draft EIS/EIR/DRI section 5.16.3.6 under Tourism and Recreation, I noticed that the only towns listed in the view shed were Falmouth, Mashpee, Chatham, Harwich, Dennis, Yarmouth, Edgartown, & Oak Bluffs. It is my understanding that the towns in Barnstable including Osterville, Centerville, and Cotuit, as well as Woods Hole are also affected. Would the number of beachgoers estimated in the 100's of thousands be even higher due to the fact that the beaches affected in those towns weren't included as part of the view shed in this report?

I also found it interesting that the only studies cited in 5.16.4.6 under Tourism and Recreation were ones performed for the British Wind Energy Association or BWEA (A trade and professional body for the UK wind industry), and the Scottish Renewables Forum (A forum for Scotland's Renewable Energy Industry), titled "Tourist Attitudes towards Wind Farms". The Scottish Renewables mission statement on their website is for "the renewable energy industry supporting development and provision of sustainable energy for the future of Scotland". The BWEA's primary purpose as stated on their website is "to promote use of wind power in and around the UK both onshore and offshore". The BWEA also states on their website that they act as a central point for information for their membership and as a lobbying group to promote wind energy to government. The BWEA's slogan is "Championing the UK Wind Industry". I question how unbiased their surveys can be to determine if wind farms have an impact on tourism. It isn't their primary focus.

However, since Scotland was selected in the DEIS as an example, I ask that the survey conducted by VISITSCOTLAND which can be found on http://www.scotexchange.net/txtonly/know_your_market/kym-windfarm-report.htm be included in the DEIS comment review. VISITSCOTLAND is the "Official Site of Scotland's National Board of Tourism and has a much broader purpose which is "to bring together the many trade associations and bodies including corporate players in the tourism and hospitality industry in Scotland". Their key objectives are "to represent the

industry and tourism operators views to government and public agencies to add value to investment and policy decisions which will contribute to Scotland's international competitiveness".

Their complete report listed above is a 190 page detailed report. Their methodology was undertaken with visitors to Scotland during July 2002. The 6 Hall Tests were conducted in six different locations. Visitors who were simply asked to participate on a survey about visiting the Scottish countryside took a total of 180 in depth interviews. The specific subject matter of the research – wind farms- was not revealed when they were recruited. In addition quotas were set to ensure that there was a balance of staying visitors, vis a vis day trippers, overseas visitors, vis a vis Scots and UK visitors, and active countryside visitors. Almost half had actually experienced a wind farm in Scotland. The report was fair and unbiased and had pro's and con's for both wind farm proponents and opponents.

Arguments against the wind farm included impact on scenery. Thirty one (31) % said scenery and landscape would be spoiled by wind farm development. Seven (7) % described impact as awful/dreadful/appalling. Other responses were dependent on other factors including location (22%), whether or not turbines could be camouflaged (7%) or provided that there weren't too many turbines (4%).

On balance the responses tended to indicate that in terms of the number of turbines there was a preference for small-scale wind farm developments rather than large-scale developments. Three in ten claimed it was better on a small-scale; 13% said preferred not too many together.

Over half the respondents said that wind farms should be as far away as possible from view.

There were statements given that the interviewers had to agree or disagree with. Fifty - eight (58)% agreed with the statement " wind farms spoiled the look of the Scottish countryside". Fifty-five (55) % agreed with the statement "they would prefer to see 20 wind farm developments with 10 turbines rather than a single large development with 200 turbines". Sixty-five (65)% disagreed with the statement that " they had no strong opinions one way or the other on developments of wind farms". Only 15% agreed with the statement "using wind farms in the promotion of Scotland to tourists would provide appeal to visitors". Sixty-five (65)% disagreed with that statement. Sixty-two (62)% disagreed with the statement that "seeing a wind farm would add to their enjoyment of the Scottish countryside" and a whopping 74% disagreed with the statement "it would be an added attraction if wind farms were located in popular tourist areas.

In summary the conclusion and recommendations by VISITSCOTLAND stated that respondents were conditionally positive towards wind farms as a means of generating power. However, the "conditionally positive" was important in terms of wind farm development and it's impact on tourism. Only a small proportion of visitors were positive towards a wind farm development without any conditions. A large proportion was likely to qualify their responses with "it depends", "so long as", "provided that". Most of these

conditions were to the sighting of wind farms and recognition that most people see them as visually intrusive.

A common theme amongst both the trade and consumers was that wind farms should not be sighted in or near designated areas of outstanding scenery, National Parks, National Scenic Areas, Sites of Special Scientific Interest, etc. It was also the general consensus amongst visitors that wherever possible wind turbines should not be located in or near popular tourist areas. There was a preference not to see them at all.

There was a feeling that wind farms only have a novelty value at the moment for visitors because there aren't too many, and that there is a danger of cumulative development of wind farms.

This study was unbiased as research highlighted a mix of different messages and conditions related to wind farm development. The report suggested each case should be judged on its own merits, rather than attempting to define an overall policy. It was VISITSCOTLAND's recommendation to devise a policy which was set within the overall context of the recognition of the importance of sustainable and renewable energies, but which would allow judgment on individual wind farm applications taking into account all the key factors and elements indicated above.

It is also interesting to note that the **Scottish Natural Heritage**, the **Wales Tourist Board**, the **Moray Council**, the **Argil and Bute Council**, the **Dumfries and Galloway Council**, the **Council for Protection of Rural England**, the **Association for the Protection of Rural Scotland**, the **Council for Protection of Rural Wales**, the **Council for National Parks**, the **Ramblers Association**, the **Scottish Wildlife Trust**, and the **Mountaineering Council of Scotland** all have issued statements or policies against wind farm developments within or affecting designated areas of outstanding scenery, National Parks, National Scenic Areas, Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Tourist Destinations, etc. Why weren't these policies mentioned in this section regarding Tourism and Recreation?

Lastly, I also found it interesting that the DEIS cited the (MORI, Scotland 2002) example in this statement. I actually read the report. In more detail it states that 3 in 5 or 60% of those surveyed weren't aware of any wind farms. My assumption would be that the wind farms were hence in a remote location and not in area where tourists visit. Of those 40% who knew they existed, 52% didn't know exactly where the wind farms were, again, verifying my assumption. Of the remaining percentage that knew where the wind farms were only 49% had seen them. This now dwindles the actual number of respondents down to 9% who actually saw the wind farms. It was then that over half said the wind farms had a positive effect. **That is a measly 4.5% of respondents** to the survey having a favorable statement. Surely, you can't state that the Cape Wind Project is in that a remote of a location!

I conclude with my analogy of a 5 star hotel. Anyone who makes a reservation at the hotel gets to use the same amenities, the pool, the spa, the shops, the concierge, the restaurants, and room service. Most usually have the same décor and room size. Why then do some pay \$100's more for a view? What happens when you reserve a standard room that overlooks the parking lot or the dumpsters? Do you call and ask if there is another room available? Are you at least disappointed? And recognize the hotel room is not usually the main purpose of your visit.

What is Cape Cod and Horseshoe Shoal in particular but the view?

I respectfully disagree with the findings in Sections 5.16.3.6 and 5.16.4.6. I question the validity of this report based on the fact that only those who have an agenda in promoting wind farm development have been heard.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mary Reardon". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Mary Reardon
18 Robertson Road
Worcester, MA 01602

JDB

095
Consulting Engineers

835 Samoset Road, Eastham, MA 02642
Telephone 508-255-1422

November 17, 2004

Karen Adams
US Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Rd.
Concord, MA 01742

Dear Ms. Adams:

Subject: Cape Wind Associates Off Shore Wind Farm
Horseshoe Shoal, Nantucket Sound, MA

As an engineer and resident living and working on Cape Cod I would like to raise a few concerns regarding the current proposed construction of a wind farm within Nantucket Sound.

A few specific points regarding this proposed project:

- The recent Army Corps of Engineers project report is sponsored and paid for by Cape Wind Associates, the project developer and proponent. This fact leads to an obvious conflict of interest between the client and the project consultant who authored this report.

Therefore, I am suggesting that an impartial, non-biased third party be allowed to complete a second independent Army Corps of Engineers feasibility study with respect to the scope of this project as proposed by Cape Wind Associates.

Such a study could possibly be implemented by a state appointed task force. Proponent and opposition groups could possibly fund for such a non-biased study.

This third party approach lends itself to a high degree of impartiality to all concerned in the decision making process of such a significant and controversial project

- Presently, the implementation of deep ocean wind turbines located far from the ocean shoreline where these towering wind turbines will not be seen from shore cannot economically be implemented by current foundation technology. However, engineering and the economical implementation of such wind turbine systems will be possible in the near future.

Ms. Karen Adams
Page 2
November 17, 2004

Deep-ocean, off shore wind turbines would produce considerably more energy for entire United States not just a small portion of our states energy demand.

It should be noted, that the energy produced by the 130 near shore wind turbines proposed within Horseshoe Shoal would produce approximately seven-thousandths of 1 percent of the yearly energy demand required for the United States. Additionally, if near shore wind turbines were installed within similar shallow waters along the eastern seaboard from Maine to Florida approximately seven-tenths of 1 percent of the energy demand required for the entire United States would be met – see enclosed computations.

Obviously, wind turbines used in such a limited coastal area along the United States will supply a very small and insignificant portion of our nations energy demand.


However, if the large area along the outer eastern continental shelf were to be utilized as a site for deep-ocean, far shore, wind turbines, where all these wind turbines would not be seen from shore, approximately twenty percent of our country's yearly energy demand would be realized.

Finally, I strongly believe that if we see the implementation of this wind power project off of Cape Cod we will fail as a people and a steward of these shores.

Travelers and residents alike, who make this small peninsula their home, look upon all of Cape Cod as our nations summer park of which we all were blessed to inherit and pass these unscathed shores on to our children.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my thoughts, ideas and research on this pressing matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph D. Bianchi". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the beginning.

Joseph D. Bianchi, P.E.

enclosures

RECEIVED
NOV 22 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

One Hundred Newbury Court
Concord, Massachusetts 01742

096

Dear Miss Goofy:

I am writing this from Concord -
but I am in Salem over six months
of the year - I am registered to vote there.
I care about the Cape and the Islands.
I am all for hitching up and using the
wind - But I do think there should be some
rules and regs as to how and where it
is accomplished, don't you?

RECEIVED ~~Sincerely~~ - oops. sincerely.

NOV 22 2001

Mary Ann Carr

Nov. 19, 2004

RECEIVED



Regan Realtors

9 Steeple Street
P.O. Box 2100
Mashpee, MA 02649
(508) 477-5200
Fax (508) 477-0776

897

November 18, 2004

Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742
Attn: Ms. Christine Godfrey

To whom it may concern:

Please consider extending the comment period for the Wind Farm for 180 days.
Your pages are overwhelming to comprehend.

From what I have seen so far it appears to be a land grab, an unproven idea in a sensitive location that would be a burden to sea life, navigation and esthetic beauty of Cape Cod.

Not Now.

Not There.

Not Proven

Regards,

Jamie Regan
Jamie Regan

RECEIVED

NOV 22 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

890

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742


November 8, 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Stephen J. Dwyer".

RECEIVED
NOV 22 2004
REGULATORY DIV.

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

899

November 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

James T. Curtis
James Curtis
P.O. Box 5006
Edgartown, MA 02539

RECEIVED

NOV 22 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

900

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bhebert@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 9:19 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS



Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Beau Hebert

11/29/2004

901

RECEIVED

DEC 6 7 1904

RECEIVED

Dear Army Corps of Engineers:

A 60-day review period is unreasonable to adequately review the massive 4,000-page Cape Wind Draft Environmental Impact Statement document. I respectfully request that you extend the review period to 180 days in order for the public to be as best informed as possible and provide you with thoughtful and unhurried input on this precedent-setting project.

Sincerely,

Belle K. Taylor

Date 11/26/04

Print Name

BELLE K TAYLOR

Address

P.O. BOX 117

City

OSTERVILLE

State

MA

Zip

02655

902

RICHARD S. DODD
158 PLEASANT ST.
SOUTH YARMOUTH, MA 02664

Nov. 27, 2004


Dear Karen,

It seems more than reasonable that the comment period, — presently being 60 days, should at least be extended to 180 days considering the 4000 pages of report needing to be evaluated concerning the Cape Wind Project.

Your cooperation regarding the extension would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you,

RECEIVED
NOV 29 2004
REGULATORY DIV.


Richard S. Dodd

323 Hatchville Road
E. Falmouth, MA 02536

Telephone 508-564-7665
Email lwg@mcdigital.com

Dr. Lawrence W. Gray


903

Karen Adams
Project Manager, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Dear Ms. Adams:

I request that the Army Corps of Engineers immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project from the current 60 days to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on 4,000 page document containing the details of this complex and controversial project. The current 60 day comment period is only of benefit to Cape Wind. Such a short comment period for this complex, controversial project is not in the public interest. The potential delay associated with extending the comment period is relatively insignificant in the overall timeline of this proposed project. The only conceivable reason for not extending the comment period is to eliminate or seriously limit informed public comment on this project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Lawrence W. Gray, Ed.D.

RECEIVED

NOV 28 1994

MASSACHUSETTS

November 24, 2004

George Hamrah
P O Box 742
Marstons Mills, MA 02648
508 420-5639 - georgeh@capecod.net

I would like to register my objection to the Army Corps of Engineers' review of Cape Wind Associate, LLC's, proposal to industrialize 24 square miles of Nantucket Sound.


The massive project Cape Wind proposes is the first offshore wind energy project this country has faced. Currently, there are no federal laws that authorize the occupation of outer continental shelf lands by private developers or that regulate how and where such development is appropriate.

The federal government must first establish guidelines for the review of proposals such as Cape Wind's before any more development takes place. We must develop sensible standards that enable the appropriate federal agency to weigh the benefits of a proposed project against its costs, which potentially include harmful environmental impacts, negative effects on the affected region's economy and degradation of an area's aesthetic values,

These public resources belong to all of us, and it is imperative that sensible laws be passed before any projects are approved. Wealthy private developers should not determine how or where the outer continental shelf will be developed. Without an established process by which the Army Corps of Engineers, or any other federal agency, can objectively and competently review these proposals, any consideration of Cape Wind's proposed wind plant should cease.

Thank you for your consideration of this issue.

Sincerely,



George Hamrah

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2004

MAILED

907

ALMA F. BLACKWELDER
54 FOREST OAKS DRIVE
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA 27705

905

November 24, 2004

Ms. Karen Adams
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, Massachusetts 01742-2751

Dear Ms. Adams:

I am writing to urge you to follow the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Service's bird research protocol.

As you probably know, millions of birds fly through Nantucket
Sound every year. Their numbers, species and activities have not been
well studied. For this reason the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service wanted to
conduct three years of comprehensive studies to determine if this
location is suitable for development.

I support renewable energy but we owe it to our wildlife to be sure
that these new forms of energy don't create new problems for wildlife.

Sincerely,

Alma Blackwelder

Alma Blackwelder

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2004

RECEIVED

906

WAKE UP!!!!!!!!!!

CAPE COD AND THE ISLANDS ARE A NATIONAL TREASURE that can be ruined by a few individuals for private profit, with NO benefit to the residents.

THE DUTY OF THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS IS TO PREVENT OBSTRUCTION OR HAZARDS TO NAVIGATION. THEY SHOULD BE AGAINST THESE WINDMILLS. This would give public lands to private individuals for a damaging use AND SET A TERRIBLE PRECEDENT THAT COULD NOT BE UNDONE!

It will affect:

- 1 Navigation, boating and fishing
- 2 Scenery - beautiful views of the Sound
- 3 By creating vibration and noise pollution, many hazards to all
- 4 The electro-magnetic fields, [especially strong in salt water], which will cause lateral line erosion in all fish and sea creatures.
- 5 Migration of birds and butterflies, particularly the monarchs, whose number has dwindled dangerously because of genetically altered corn.
- 6 Water quality of the Sound
- 7 The major shifting of bottom sand and erosion of the existing topography of the ocean floor.
- 8 The economy of the Cape and Islands: property values and tourism. Who wants to visit an ugly city in the water? Martha's Vineyard showed a drop in tourism and the general economy in 2004.
- 9 Human health, pleasure and well-being. Wave motion of water lowers blood pressure.
- 10 Oil spills from motors and storage cells possible
- 11 Hurricane and storm damage to nearby homes, dwellings, humans, boats, cars, Etc. We residents have trouble getting homeowners insurance now. Hurricane Bob in 1991 was 120 MPH on East Chop. I lost thirteen trees in that storm. Windmills flying would do severe damage. They would break and fly.

DO NOT RUIN PARADISE!!!!!!!!!!

*Extend comment
period!*

Sincerely -

Ann Stechsel

RECEIVED
MAY 26 2007
REGULATORY DIVISION

WAKE UP!!!!!!!!!!

CAPE COD AND THE ISLANDS ARE A NATIONAL TREASURE that can be ruined by a few individuals for private profit, with NO benefit to the residents.

THE DUTY OF THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS IS TO PREVENT OBSTRUCTION OR HAZARDS TO NAVIGATION. THEY SHOULD BE AGAINST THESE WINDMILLS. This would give public lands to private individuals for a damaging use AND SET A TERRIBLE PRECEDENT THAT COULD NOT BE UNDONE!

It will affect:

- 1 Navigation, boating and fishing
- 2 Scenery - beautiful views of the Sound
- 3 By creating vibration and noise pollution, many hazards to all
- 4 The electro-magnetic fields, [especially strong in salt water], which will cause lateral line erosion in all fish and sea creatures.
- 5 Migration of birds and butterflies, particularly the monarchs, whose number has dwindled dangerously because of genetically altered corn.
- 6 Water quality of the Sound
- 7 The major shifting of bottom sand and erosion of the existing topography of the ocean floor.
- 8 The economy of the Cape and Islands: property values and tourism. Who wants to visit an ugly city in the water? Martha's Vineyard showed a drop in tourism and the general economy in 2004.
- 9 Human health, pleasure and well-being. Wave motion of water lowers blood pressure.
- 10 Oil spills from motors and storage cells possible
- 11 Hurricane and storm damage to nearby homes, dwellings, humans, boats, cars, Etc. We residents have trouble getting homeowners insurance now. Hurricane Bob in 1991 was 120 MPH on East Chop. I lost thirteen trees in that storm. Windmills flying would do severe damage. They would break and fly.

DO NOT RUIN PARADISE!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,
Ann Overbeek

Drexel
P. O. Box 1101
Oak Bluffs
MA
02557



Colonel Thomas L. Koning
District Engineer - Corps of Engineer
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord MA
01742-2751

01742+2751 

Karen Adams
Project Manager, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

November 2004

Dear Ms. Adams,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.


Sincerely,

Peter Monaghan, Sales, L.L.C.
75 Birds Hill Ave.
Needham, MA 02492



Nov. 26, 2004

Cape Wind nor ACOE has no
right to exploit public property
at Tax Payer expense to benefit
the principal at Cape Wind.

This is ~~repeating~~ a private citizen
at the public's expense.
(This man never built a wind
farm at sea, to boot!) A TRUSTY!


908

ALEXANDER W. VIETOR
301 Purchase Street
Rye, NY 10580

Karen Adams, Project Manager
Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742


November 26, 2004

Dear Ms. Adams,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind Project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is currently insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



RECEIVED

NOV 28 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

909

625 Lower Lane
Berlin, CT 06037
November 29, 2004

Ms. Karen Adams
Project Manager, Regulatory Division
Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742


Dear Ms. Adams:

Re: The Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Cape Wind project.

Please extend the comment period from 60 days to 180 days in order for the public to have adequate time to review this very large document.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,



Donald C. Harris

RECEIVED
NOV 29 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

910

Christine Gyovai
19 Buzzards Bay Ave
Woods Hole, MA 02542

November 24, 2004

Karen Kirk-Adams
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2752

Dear Ms. Kirk-Adams:

I am a summer resident of Woods Hole, Massachusetts, a graduate student in Environmental Planning, and the granddaughter of a coal miner.

The Cape Wind energy project has my full support, and I hope it has your full support as well. Wind energy makes sense - it does not level the mountain tops of South Appalachia, it does not emit harmful chemicals, and it is renewable. Wind energy is the best choice for Cape Cod, and should have Cape Cod's full support. The NIMBY opposition put forth a select few residents is short sighted, and does not reflect the majority of what Cape residents want - Clean Air and Clean Water. I urge you to support the Cape Wind project for the health of the Nantucket Sound and Cape Cod's future residents in mind.

Sincerely,


Christine Gyovai

RECEIVED
NOV 23 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

911

Cape Cod Radio Mystery Theater
240 Main
West Barnstable, MA.

November 27, 2004

Cape Wind Energy EJS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, Massachusetts

Dear Karen Kirk-Adams:

This much we know: on Cape Cod the winds sure do blow. Last week saw an abundance of air molecules rushing about and one could not help but reflect how much clean electricity could have been derived from it with almost no degradation to the environment and very little downside except to those who find the aesthetics of the wind towers unappealing. One may sympathize with their sense of loss but considering other aesthetic blights and blunders surrounding us, (choose and name them yourself) this compromise seems mild by comparison.

Personally, I find the idea of an ocean shoal wind tower range to be architecturally inspiring. Without question it is dramatic. As a mystery writer I can hardly wait to set a radio thriller out amongst them. Recall films like *North by Northwest* and *Vertigo* and imagine how Hitchcock might have used them.

To watch the tall turbines harnessing the wind, as though we were accepting a gift directly from the Creator, would do my heart good (not to mention my lungs). I can easily foresee them becoming fond attractions that will enhance people's idea of the Cape Cod as vacationland; that folks, over time, will enjoy and associate and come to identify with the Cape, the way they do other icons like the Canal bridges or the Provincetown Monument. When new technology comes along that makes these structures obsolete, the nice thing is they can be removed and the next day no one will be able to tell they were ever there, --not so the lingering ghosts of radioactive power plants when they are dismantled. (Assuming they ever are, as it now appears the re-licensing process goes on ad infinitum, --as

(page two)

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2004 RECEIVED

REGULATORY DIVISION

REGULATORY DIVISION

NOV 29 2004 RECEIVED

repercussions from an accident certainly shall. If that vessel ever pops, we are really in trouble. Then the real s--- hits the fan and I'm not talking wind towers. Then we will bitterly wish we had opted instead for harmless puffs of air turning sleek blades.)

With the dawn of this new technology, imagine a world in which we will not have to be guilty about every kilowatt used or wasted; every light left on, every long shower taken, every refrigerator door left open, every time we use the clothes dryer instead of hanging our clothes on the line. Most of us, most of the time, banish such unwelcome thoughts by disconnecting the awareness circuitry in our minds, thus allowing guilt-free actions without concern for the consequences. Unfortunately, turning off the guilt switch does not turn off the consequences.

I welcome the new self-reliant attitude this project will help foster. I dream of a braver new world in which our use of appliances is not linked to an assault upon our environment --or good judgment. In which the innocent act of turning on a dishwasher can be just that, an innocent act, rather of an act tied to the production of more nuclear waste, more acid rain, mercury and other toxins released into the air. And in which simple acts like these, multiplied by thousands and millions, do not force us into the truly untenable positions such as ignoring climate change and condoning TTTs in our backyard (Tempting Terrorist Targets).

Must Massachusetts and its resourceful citizenry be forever shackled by entrenched vested interests from Texas, Pennsylvania and Washington D.C. and by utility moguls whose conflicts of interest ought to have kept them at least one step removed from the table at which national energy policy was set?

It's getting late. Let's put up some pinwheels.

Sincerely,

Steven Oney

theauthor@capecodradiomystery.com
508 362 4443



CleanPwr
TM

Wind . Solar . Combined Heat & Power Systems . Advanced Vehicles

November 26, 2004

912

**Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751**

This is a letter from the other side of the continent in support of the Cape Wind Project.

The Corps is in a unique position in guiding the public review process for the first major offshore U.S. wind project. How the Corps proceeds will be watched closely by potential offshore wind developers world wide.


That is particularly true for the Pacific Northwest, where a major untapped wind resource lies along the coastline from Cape Flattery in the extreme northwest corner of the U.S. and along the Washington and Oregon coasts into northern California. The possibility of developing this wind resource is a vital option in meeting the future energy needs of the northwestern U.S., requiring only short transmission lines into our major population centers and with a minimal over-all environmental footprint.

The Cape Wind Project will have a very small esthetic imprint, being barely visible from shore. It will have essentially zero emissions affecting air quality and global warming. It will have no impact upon the fishery resource, and no more than a very minimal constraint upon the operations or safety of either commercial shipping or recreational boating. TV and other communications are not expected to be affected. Avian impacts will be less than for any other form of utility-scale power generation, and any noise will be heard only within a very small area. With the rapidly developing technology of three megawatt and larger turbines, the number of units built will be substantially smaller for the output of the project than for any other U.S. windfarm.

It is hard to imagine a better environmental balance sheet than this. We urge you to proceed with prudent but positive action in support of the Cape Wind project.

Very truly yours,

CleanPwr Inc.


Joe Heineck, President

RECEIVED
NOV 29 2004
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

November 26, 2004

**Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751**

This is a letter from the other side of the continent in support of the Cape Wind Project.

The Corps is in a unique position in guiding the public review process for the first major offshore U.S. wind project. How the Corps proceeds will be watched closely by potential offshore wind developers world wide.

That is particularly true for the Pacific Northwest, where a major untapped wind resource lies along the coastline from Cape Flattery in the extreme northwest corner of the U.S. and along the Washington and Oregon coasts into northern California. The possibility of developing this wind resource is a vital option in meeting the future energy needs of the northwestern U.S., requiring only short transmission lines into our major population centers and with a minimal over-all environmental footprint.

The Cape Wind Project will have a very small esthetic imprint, being barely visible from shore. It will have essentially zero emissions affecting air quality and global warming. It will have no impact upon the fishery resource, and no more than a very minimal constraint upon the operations or safety of either commercial shipping or recreational boating. TV and other communications are not expected to be affected. Avian impacts will be less than for any other form of utility-scale power generation, and any noise will be heard only within a very small area. With the rapidly developing technology of three megawatt and larger turbines, the number of units built will be substantially smaller for the output of the project than for any other U.S. windfarm.

It is hard to imagine a better environmental balance sheet than this. We urge you to proceed with prudent but positive action in support of the Cape Wind project.

Very truly yours,

CleanPwr Inc.

Joe Heineck, President

November 26, 2004

**Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751**

This is a letter from the other side of the continent in support of the Cape Wind Project.

The Corps is in a unique position in guiding the public review process for the first major offshore U.S. wind project. How the Corps proceeds will be watched closely by potential offshore wind developers world wide.

That is particularly true for the Pacific Northwest, where a major untapped wind resource lies along the coastline from Cape Flattery in the extreme northwest corner of the U.S. and along the Washington and Oregon coasts into northern California. The possibility of developing this wind resource is a vital option in meeting the future energy needs of the northwestern U.S., requiring only short transmission lines into our major population centers and with a minimal over-all environmental footprint.

The Cape Wind Project will have a very small esthetic imprint, being barely visible from shore. It will have essentially zero emissions affecting air quality and global warming. It will have no impact upon the fishery resource, and no more than a very minimal constraint upon the operations or safety of either commercial shipping or recreational boating. TV and other communications are not expected to be affected. Avian impacts will be less than for any other form of utility-scale power generation, and any noise will be heard only within a very small area. With the rapidly developing technology of three megawatt and larger turbines, the number of units built will be substantially smaller for the output of the project than for any other U.S. windfarm.

It is hard to imagine a better environmental balance sheet than this. We urge you to proceed with prudent but positive action in support of the Cape Wind project.

Very truly yours,

CleanPwr Inc.

Joe Heineck, President

913

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Wylie Collins [wcoll1@optonline.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 11:34 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Karen Adams

Karen Adams and Army Corps of Engineers,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposal set forth by Cape Winds to industrialize Nantucket Sound. I believe that in reviewing this project, the Army Corps of Engineers did not fully consider the following:

-That the approval of this project, while technically in the jurisdiction of the Army Corps of Engineers, has vast implications for our Nation's offshore oceans. We do not currently have a consistent, uniform policy with respect to what, how, and who, can build such power projects off our Nation's coastline. *I believe that the Army Corps of Engineers should recognize the broader implications of this decision and conclude that no decision should be made until such time as we have a national policy on the matter.*

-The Cape Wind project is asking the public to forego Nantucket Sound as a place of natural beauty, while a HANDFUL OF INDIVIDUALS MAKE A TREMENDOUS ECONOMIC PROFIT. The Army Corps of Engineers is assisting a handful of businessmen profit while the public foregoes a national treasure.

KAREN, HAVE YOU ASKED JIM GORDON HOW MUCH HE STANDS TO MAKE FROM THIS PROJECT WHILE THE PUBLIC GIVES UP NANTUCKET SOUND TO INDUSTRIALIZATION? THAT IS A QUESTION THAT THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, AS A STEWARD OF THE US PUBLIC, NEEDS TO ASK.

I urge you to reconsider this project and conclude that the final decision should be made only after a national policy with respect to offshore projects has been finalized.

Thank you and Sincerely,

Wylie Collins
488 Mansfield Ave
Darien, CT 06820
917 951 5407

11/30/2004

914

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jackiejerabek@yahoo.com

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 1:11 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS



Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jaclyn Jerabek

11/30/2004

915

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Denise Patnod [dpatnod@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 1:20 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: cape wind

to who this concerns (I hope everyone):
I support Cape Wind.

Denise patnod
151 coolidge ave
watertown, ma. 02472

Do you Yahoo!?
Meet the all-new My Yahoo! - Try it today!

916

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: milton schwartz [annmick@adelphia.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 1:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Cc: mark@capewind.org
Subject: Cape Wind project-

Since the Corps findings are that:

Cape Wind will offset the burning of fossil fuels and increased energy independence, decreased air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, and offset energy costs, will not be a hazard to air and sea navigation and there will be no major negative impacts on the ecology of Nantucket Sound, local tourism and surrounding property values, it follows that this installation must be permitted. It is a small but significant start to real ecological-saving energy policies, which are a must, if we are to continue to exist as a civilization.

Milton Schwartz,
Former Major, CE
2A Georges Rock Roa
Sandwich, MA 02563
508 888 1099

917

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kristina.detmer@brooklaw.edu

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 4:00 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS



Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kristina Detmer

11/30/2004

918

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Manager The Belmont [belmontmanager@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 4:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Cc: comments@saveoursound.org
Subject: Cape Wind DEIS Review Period Extension
Importance: High

Dear Ms. Adams,

My wife and I believe that it is important that the review period for the Cape Wind project DEIS be extended from 60-days to 180-days.

We believe that it is not feasible to review a document of this complexity in the brief period initially allocated. The community, its leaders, and other professionals should be afforded the courtesy to truly inspect and digest this report.

A project with such profound implications should not be fast-tracked or force-fed to the residents of Cape Cod. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,
Myles Ostroff

11/30/2004

919

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Christopher Ely [cely48@comcast.net]

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 6:43 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Karen Adams
US Army Corps of Engineers

November 29, 2004

Dear Ms. Adams:

I own a modest, but pretty home on Cape Cod, and have been closely monitoring the various points of view expressed over the last few years regarding the Cape Cod wind farm proposal.

I would like to state my absolute, total opposition to this proposal. I won't reiterate all of the concerns here, but they include: damage to the environment, air traffic concerns, visual pollution, and navigation.

I appreciate the time and energy that the Corps has expended to date, but as a resident of Indiana and a part-time resident of Massachusetts, I respectfully urge you and the Corps to allow Americans in the future to enjoy the unique natural resource of the Cape forever.

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Ely

11/30/2004

920

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: JBGabriel@aol.com
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 6:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Project

I want to go on record in the strongest possible terms as opposing the Cape Wind project.

Nantucket Sound is a national treasure. Erection of the wind turbines in Nantucket Sound will adversely affect the aesthetic value of the Cape as a tourist destination.

Just as one should not build wind turbines in the Grand Canyon, one should also not build wind turbines in Nantucket Sound.

J. Bruce Gabriel
Captain, Armor, USAR (Retired)
45 Hayden Street
Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752

11/30/2004

921

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Richard Mullin [rfmullin@capecod.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 7:12 AM
To: Karen Adams
Subject: FW: Proposed wind farm

: Proposed wind farm

Please consider the following when dealing with the Nantucket Wind Farm proposal on Horseshoe Shoal.
(Percentages may be subject to revision pending experimental tower, on Horseshoe Shoal, data.).

- * The proposed location on Horseshoe Shoal is NOT a prime wind location according to The Wind Atlas of the U S. It is a class 5 out of 7.
- * Due to wind inconsistency, the wind mills can/will only run 50% of the time.
- * With the wind mills down 50% of the time fossil/nuclear power plants will have to supply power 50% of the time.
- * Therefore the conventional power plants must maintain steam pressure, in order to satisfy demand when the wind mills are down.
- * In simple terms the fossil/nuclear power plants will NEVER shut down. Given this condition where's the pollution advantage?
- * Granted less fuel will be used, but not 50% less.
- * Customers (we) will be funding BOTH energy producing plants. Even with some fuel savings I doubt that energy costs can go down.
- * Environmentalist should be outraged at this industrial proposal. Have we forgotten what happened to the rivers, streams, and bays during the last two century's industrial events? We're still trying to recover from that.
- * Wind mill technology needs further development, and it's happening rapidly, e.g. 170 mills down to 130 in a few months.
- * Every effort should be made to place wind mills in the most productive location, a class 7 area. On land.
- * Maintenance of these wind mills in the Ocean will be very costly, totally dependant on weather conditions, high wind, sea ice etc.

The time has come to make Nantucket Sound a National Marine Sanctuary, please make every effort to achieve that end.

11/28/04

Richard F. Mullin, P.E. 17 Perkins Way So Dennis, MA 02660
rfmullin@capecod.net

11/30/2004

922

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: brenda [brenoll@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 7:15 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Nantucket sound wind farm

As a year round resident of Nantucket I would like to express my opinion on the wind farm proposal for Nantucket Sound. Although I would favor a wind farm in another location, I strongly believe that this is the WRONG spot for a wind farm. The turbines are too massive; the water, sand and high winds are too unpredictable. Who will be responsible for this behemoths once they break down and Cape Wind is out of the picture (which they will be once they have turned a profit). I fear the cape and islands will bear the brunt of the clean up of these rusted hulks.

Has the USACE looked at the island off of Martha's Vineyard called No Mans Island? The ecosystem is already destroyed from years of bombing. It would seem to me a dry land operation would have much less environmental impact.

I am aware of all the reasons to advance alternative energy but must it be done at the expense of such a beautiful and fragile resource as Nantucket Sound? Nantucket Sound is not a renewable resource; it's one of a kind. Don't let Cape Wind destroy it.

Brenda Noll
15 High Brush Path
Nantucket, Ma 02554

11/30/2004

~~923~~
923

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jays42@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 7:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS

SAVE OUR SOUND
The Alliance to protect nantucket sound

The report as currently posted on the Web is unwieldy. It is far too large to download and, to date, all my efforts to view renditions of what the site will look like have failed. Please make the renditions of how the farm will look (during the day and night) easily accessible and widely available. Also, please understand that I cannot possibly absorb that much information in an intelligent manner in 60 days -- even if I could download it from your site. Thank you.

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Justin Spence

11/30/2004

924

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: topher@fearey.com
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 7:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS



Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Christopher Fearey

11/30/2004

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Topher Fearey [topher@fearey.com]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 7:44 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Save our Sound...Stop the Cape Wind Project!

The following are reasons the Cape Wind project should be banned. Please reconsider this atrocious idea!

No Rules. Unlike offshore oil and gas development, the federal government has no rules to guide the brand new industry of offshore wind energy. Without such rules the public interest cannot be served.

US Ocean Commission. This spring, the bipartisan US Commission on Ocean Policy issued a landmark report concluding that the present Army Corp permitting process is inadequate because:

The Army Corps of Engineers cannot grant rights for offshore energy projects;

The Corps' process, which typically regulates obstructions to navigation, not power plants, is not comprehensive; and

The Corps lacks the management breadth needed to take into account a broad range of issues.

Public land giveaway. If Cape Wind succeeds in building this project for private profit, it (unlike oil and gas projects) will be occupying public lands for free.

Flawed process. Cape Wind and its consultants have exerted undue influence over the environmental review process raising serious questions about the objectivity and value of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Governor snubbed. Recently Governor Romney asked the Army Corps to delay release of the Cape Wind DEIS until questions about the state boundary in Nantucket Sound were resolved. In an abysmal failure to recognize legitimate state interests the Army Corps dismissed the Governor's request.

Industrialization. The Cape Wind project would transform a sparkling ocean jewel into an industrial complex. The 24 square mile project would be populated with an obtrusive array of enormous turbine towers with 520 red and amber flashing lights and a transformer substation housing 40,000 gallons of potentially hazardous oil.

Boating dangers. The Cape Wind project would crowd main navigation channels for cargo ships, ferries, and fishing boats. The risk of collisions with the turbine towers and the danger of pollution from accidents would increase during fogs and storms, for which the area is known.

Commercial fishing impacts. The 165 fishermen who work Horseshoe Shoal make half of their annual income from the catch there. Risk of collision with the turbines, or hooking onto the spider web of connecting cables between the towers, will largely preclude fishermen from fishing in the area.

Large-scale construction impacts on marine life. Placing 130 turbines and miles of cabling in the seabed will cause elevated turbidity, which will smother bottom-dwelling organisms, kill juvenile fish, and drive off adults. The productivity of the Nantucket Sound fishery will suffer. The turbine bases have been touted as fish magnets, but not all fish are attracted to structures. Open water fish like bluefish and mackerel could leave the area.

Bird kill. Nantucket Sound is rich in bird life. Millions of songbirds migrate through every spring and fall, hundreds of thousands of sea ducks winter on the Sound, and endangered bird species breed onshore. Wind power plants pose a clear danger to birds, and should not be sited in areas of dense bird populations.

Economic impacts. The public would essentially be paying Cape Wind to build the wind farm. Unlike offshore oil and gas projects, Cape wind would occupy public land for free. An economic study by The Beacon Hill Institute estimates:

Cape Wind will receive a subsidy of \$241 million from state and federal sources;

The project will result in a loss of up to 2,533 jobs; and
Homeowners expect property values to decline by \$1.35 billion.

Problems at Horns Rev. The 'flagship' offshore project in Denmark, to which Cape Wind frequently points, is failing. All 80 turbines in the two-year-old facility are being dismantled, and brought ashore for costly repairs.

926

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: WILLIAM HANSEL [w.hansel@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 9:55 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Cc: calliesue@aol.com
Subject: wind farm

Dear Ms. Adams,

I have been following the "wind farm" project on Horseshoe shoals since its inception. I am a retired high school teacher with a keen interest in alternate energy sources. I developed and taught a course in 1980 entitled "Energy Concepts" The impetus to develop the course was a result of the energy crises of the 70's. Students studied and performed experiments in all of the renewable energy sources including wind energy. Study at that time revealed that wind energy although non-depleteable was a costly form of electrical energy production. Technology certainly has advanced in the last 25 years since the inception of my course, although the outcome is identical-wind turbines are a costly form of electrical energy development. Also, as we know, the life expectancy of the turbine equipment is uncertain at best.

I am still involved in wind power - I own a 28 foot sailboat. My boat is moored in the harbor of Cotuit, MA, and I daysail and journey through Nantucket Sound almost everyday in the summer months. Many of my ventures include the waters of Horseshoe Shoals, the site of the proposed wind farm. My boating experience includes many courses as well as a US Coastguard license. I have read and heard arguments for and opposed to this project, but I have not heard discussions concerning the ramifications involving the recreational boater. As a recreational boater, I am vehemently opposed to the "wind farm" project for the following reasons:

1. These waters are relatively shallow with strong wind and current leading to steep and high wave motion making it often difficult to maintain an accurate heading.
2. Fog development is common leading to extremely hazardous navigation (without radar). Even buoys are hazardous in fog.
3. During these common adverse conditions, the only salvation is a large open seaway with as few obstacles as possible.
4. The US Coastguard has informed me that they cannot bring a helicopter into this area due to the expanse of the turbine blades.
5. The US Coastguard also informed me that my mast would appear on their radar as an image very similar to a wind turbine tower.
6. The towers would by no means be of any refuge to a disabled boater except in a rare calm sea state.

I thank you in advance for your consideration of my objection to the proposed wind farm.

Respectfully yours,

William B. Hansel

Chine Way

Osterville, MA 02655

5084206914

92

11/30/2004

927

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: John C. Beckerle [john.beckerle@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 9:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: My argument against the Wind Farm off Nantucket Island.

Dear Karen Adams,
wind.energy@usace.army.mil

Re: The Nantucket wind farm
from
John C. Beckerle, Ph.D. (physics)
Falmouth, MA 02540
john.beckerle@verizon.net

1) I object to the money required to build the wind farm which really isn't going to help Cape Cod much; Infact it will just cause us to pay for it without getting enough back for our money. Besides, I believe it will require continual costly repair because of the salt water and shifting sands of the area caused by climate changes and increased storm activity in the future.

2) Falmouth, MA where I live is too far away from the proposed wind farm to be directly bothered by it, however, my home is heated by natural gas, which is costly but vital energy source. The money for the wind farm could be better spent on running a pipe line from the Sable Island, an infinite natural gas, resource to Cape Cod and the Islands. A pipeline was run from that resource to Northern Massachusetts and to Western Massachusetts, so I have heard. There needs to be an extension to Cape Cod and the Islands. That is how the money should be spent! Moreover, because of the continuing threat of terrorists, Cape Cod needs an additional supply line for natural gas. We all know what happened in California, and across the country, when a pipeline for natural gas was disrupted. Reliability in natural gas delivery requires diversity of supply lines. Furthermore, there ought to be competition for the only natural gas supply company, KeySpan Energy Delivery; Competition is the American Way to balance increasing energy costs. The State needs to apply to the Federal government for funding in this direction before spending money on a highly experimental Wind Farm off Nantucket Island. Laying a gas pipeline is a well known technology; That technology is a sure way to supply energy to keep us warm in winter here on the Cape and to the homes in our Islands to the South !. The Army Corp of Engineers know the importance of reliability in an engineering project. A sure supply of natural gas for us in southeastern Massachusetts is an essential need.

I believe my arguments in paragraph 2 above are good reasons to use the funding for the Wind Farm to extend a natural gas line from Sable Island Resource to Cape Cod, and also to both Islands of Nantucket and Martha's

11/30/2004

Vineyard.

3) I also believe that I can develop some good environmental arguments against the Wind Farm off Nantucket Island; I will address such arguments in a future email to you. I have considerable experience in fluid dynamics of storms at sea; I have spent many years as an oceanographer and in fluid dynamical effects of storms.

I would like to get your view of my arguments in paragraphs 2 above..

Repectfully yours,

John C. Beckerle
508 548 5545

928

JOHN M. STELLA
P.O. BOX 543
BEDFORD, MA. 01730

KAREN KIRK ADAMS
CAPE WIND ENERGY PROJECT EIS PROJECT MANAGER
CORPS OF ENGINEERS, NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT
696 VIRGINIA ROAD
CONCORD, MA. 01742-2751

NOVEMBER 28, 2004

DEAR KAREN KIRK ADAMS:

I AM STRONGLY OPPOSED A WIND FARM PROJECT PROPOSED TO BUILD IN ~~THE~~ NANTUCKET SOUND BY THE CAPE WIND ASSOCIATES.


THIS PROPOSED PROJECT WILL HARM ENVIROMENTAL PROBELMS, AND WILL INCREASE SAFETY PROBLEMS FOR BOATING, SHIPPING, AND AIRCRAFT THAT TRAVELS THRU NANTUCKET SOUND BETWEEN CAPE COD AND THE ISLANDS. THIS DOES NOT MAKE SENSE TO BUILD PROPOSED WIND FARM ON NANTUCKET SOUND. THIS IS A PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE AND ENVIROMENTAL ISSUE REGARDING THE FUTURE OF NANTUCKET SOUND.

THE MAJORITY PEOPLE OF MASSACHUSETTS INCULDING GOVERNOR ROMNEY, SENATORS EDWARD KENNEDY AND JOHN KERRY ARE AGAINST PROPOSED WIND FARM IN NANTUCKET SOUND.

I WOULD LIKE TO RECCOMEND THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND REGION TO REJECT AND DISAPPROVE THE REQUESTS OF WIND FARM PROJECT BY THE COMPANY NAME: CAPE WIND ASSOCIATES. PLEASE CONSIDER TO JOIN MY OPPOSITION TO THIS PROPOSED PLAN BY CAPE WIND ASSOCIATES WHICH IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SINCETRELY,


JOHN STELLA

RECEIVED

NOV 23 2004

REGULATORY DIVISION

929

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: akates@ft.newyorklife.com

Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 8:59 AM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS



Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Amy Kates

930

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Angela Carney [angelacarney@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 8:01 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: in support

To Whom It May Concern:

I am not sure if my letter will help in any way but I wanted to add my support to the Cape project. I find it sad that those opposed are more worried about their view than being less reliant on the middle east for our power. It is my understanding that in other countries these sites are considered a tourist attraction. I truly hope this project moves ahead.

-Angela Carney

Angela Carney
163 Summer Street
Medway, MA 02053
angelacarney@comcast.net

11/30/2004

931

WILLIAM MACLAY ARCHITECTS & PLANNERS

4509 MAIN STREET, WAITSFIELD, VT 05673

November 29, 2004

Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Re: Support for Cape Wind Project


Dear Ms. Kirk-Adams:

As a vacation property owner in Chatham, MA, I am writing in strong support of the proposed wind generator project around Horseshoe Shoals. While my understanding is that we will not see these proposed wind generators from our waterfront property on the Oyster River in Chatham, I hope in the future there will be a wind farm off of Hardy's Beach and Monomoy Point to produce power.

As an architect, I believe it is important we build a sustainable non-polluting future for our society as soon as possible. I also believe that the wind generators are and will be beautiful. As a sailor who has sailed by Horseshoe Shoals in a windy, foggy and rainy easterly, the wind generator would be an aid to navigation and an enhancement of the sailing experience.

Thanks you for the opportunity to comment on this important issue.

Sincerely,



William MacLay, AIA

e-mail wmap@wmap-aia.com, <http://www.wmap-aia.com>

802•496•4004 FAX 802•496•4007

932

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Barbara and Chris Murphy [bcmurphy@gis.net]

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 8:35 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: Nantucket Sound wind farm

Dear Sirs;

I think the proposed wind farm has some possibilities for our future. If you decide to move ahead with it then I strongly urge you to allow one and only one working tower and require it to function and be heavily monitored for no less than two years before considering the remaining towers.

Thank You, Chris Murphy Chilmark, Ma. 02535 693-6610

933

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Stephen O'Keefe (Legato) [o'keefe_stephen@emc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 10:22 AM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: Wind farm

Karen

I strongly oppose putting a wind farm in the middle of Nantucket

Sound. I am a property owner in the town of Dennis and find it unbelievable that it is even being considered. Please reconsider your position and prevent this from happening.

Sincerely

Stephen O'Keefe

11/30/2004

934

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Janet Elsbach [deltadog@bcn.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:04 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Wind Energy in MA

934

I am writing to express my support for the proposed Cape Wind turbine project. I believe there is tremendous potential value in the Commonwealth taking a leadership position on the development of alternative sources of energy. The data suggests the economic impact to be very positive, the job creation factor to be strong, and the potential negative environmental impact (namely, bird loss) to be low and transient. On balance, the justification seems very strong.

Thank you.

Janet Elsbach
Sheffield, MA

935

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Trudi Leone Lord [trudi@chrisandtrudi.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:10 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Support for Cape Cod Wind Farm

Hello,

I would like to voice my support for the proposed wind farm off Cape Cod. I think it is a great idea! I know you are completing a thorough review of the impact of this plan.

I hope that the plan, if implemented, will take into consideration the many aspects in which the environment will be impacted. For example, I hope that installing the wind mills will be done with the utmost care to avoid polluting the waters with construction materials. In addition, I hope that maintenance costs (especially "hidden" costs) have been thoroughly investigated.

Thank you for conducting thoughtful research.

Trudilyne Leone Lord
2 Jefferson Street
Natick, MA 01760

11/30/2004

936

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Christine Negra [Christine.Negra@uvm.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:08 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: support for Cape Wind Energy Project

TO: Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy Project EIS Project Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

FROM: Christine Negra, Burlington, VT

I am writing to express my general support for expanded development of wind energy systems and, specifically, the Cape Wind Energy Project. I understand that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has conducted a comprehensive environmental and public interest review of the project and the findings indicate that the project would be beneficial without causing substantial environmental harm. In view of our growing dependence on fossil fuels, wind energy, where feasible and safe, must play a larger role in meeting our energy needs.

11/30/2004

937

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: EVERETT HORN [CAPEHORN500@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:08 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Nantucket Sound Generators

We have re-read the materials sent us concerning the Cape Wind Energy Project and we remain strongly opposed to the construction of the proposed wind turbines. As noted in the Draft Summary, the project would cause a change in the daytime view of the area and the flashing lights would create a visual change to the existing relatively unbroken nighttime view. It would be a shame to destroy that which is so beautiful.

For aesthetic reasons alone construction of the generators should not be allowed.

Patricia and Everett Horn
500 Ocean Street-No.120
Hyannis, MA 02601

11/30/2004

938

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Wawrzyniak, Chad [cwawrzyniak@vsea.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:25 AM
To: 'wind.energy@usace.army.mil'
Subject: Cape Wind Project

To Whom it may concern,

As a New Englander I applaud your efforts to work with re-newable energy resources. And as a father of two I thank you for thinking of the future state of the environemnt and what our consumption of fossil fuels is doing, not only locally but globally. I beleieve that the benefits of the Cape Wind Project will far exceed the harm that is caused. The bottom line, in my personal point of view, is that we need to lesson our dependance on non-renewable energy sources. Thank you for your time.

-Chad Wawrzyniak
Northfield, VT

939

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Robert Fultz [rlfultz@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:11 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Cc: Delahunt, William
Subject: Nantucket Sound Wind Farm Comments

I support alternative energy and have envisioned and implemented alternative energy projects including the solar shellfish hatchery on Martha's Vineyard. The problem with the present proposal is that the location has been determined in a private for profit arena. The location of such facilities should be done as a public planning process so that all environmental, economic and cultural values can be weighed and protected in the determination of a site. Presently, public interests are only reacting to private profit concerns. The approval of such an installation should be shelved until such a public process is created and conducted. This would be a significant delay however the future of alternative energy production and its acceptability is at stake and getting it right is important to this and future generations. Thank you for your consideration.
Robert L. Fultz

11/30/2004

940

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Carol Morrison [carol.morrison@uvm.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:22 AM
To: Karen Kirk-Adams
Subject: The Cape Wind Project

Dear Ms. Kirk-Adams,

I strongly support the Cape Wind Project. The United States needs to move away from oil, coal, and nuclear power, in the direction of clean, renewable resources like wind and water. There is a wind farm near us, and it is beautiful and unobtrusive.

Sincerely,
Carol R. Morrison

Carol R. Morrison, Secretary
UVM Extension
11 University Way, Ste. 4
Brattleboro, VT 05301
802-257-7967 (voice mail ext. 12)

941

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Annda Flynn [aflynn@whoi.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:22 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: I support the wind farm

To Whom It May Concern,

Just to let you know I think the time is right to make a major effort to provide for our energy needs with renewable, environmentally friendly and cost-effective sources. The wind farm meets all of those requirements. I do not believe it will be the end of Nantucket Sound, something that far off shore will be hardly visible to the naked eye from the shoreline.

It definitely is time for the oil industry to become involved in those areas where there is no substitute for oil, and make every effort to conserve the remaining reserves for that purpose.

Thank you,

Annda Flynn
66 Ridgewood Dr.
Yarmouth Port, MA 02675

942

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Joshua Angelus [JoshuaAngelus@yahoo.com]**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:29 AM**To:** Energy, Wind**Subject:** Clean energy wind projecy

Now that the Army Corps of Engineers has released the DEIS for public review, it is time to have rational dialogue about the impact the project will have, as well as its benefits. While the preliminary findings of the DEIS are very favorable, many environmental groups, including UCS, are still reviewing the underlying science and analysis before endorsing the project.

Because this project has the potential to provide a significant amount of renewable energy to New England, UCS believes it is very important to participate fully in the review process. UCS experts will be reviewing and commenting on the DEIS, with special attention to the need for the project, its economics, and potential consequences of the project relative to its benefits.

The Army Corps' preliminary DEIS findings include the following:

I agree with the following:

The Cape Wind project would result in \$1.5–\$2 billion in economic benefits to the U.S. economy. The project would create about 391 full-time jobs during construction and installation, plus about 50 full-time jobs for operation and maintenance once the project is operational.

It would also reduce the region's dependence on fossil fuels, save money on electricity bills, and enable Massachusetts to comply with its renewable energy standard at a reduced cost.

The project will lead to improved air quality and reductions in heat-trapping gas emissions from other New England power plants.

There will be some bird deaths from collisions with the wind turbines. However, the DEIS projects that bird mortality will not have a biologically significant impact on any bird populations. The risk to birds that people are most concerned about—the Roseate tern and Piping plover—is predicted to be especially small since they rarely fly over the proposed wind farm site. Some temporary displacement of birds is predicted during construction but once this facility is built bird nesting will not be greatly affected.

There will be no substantial impact on commercial fishing activity or recreational fishing. In fact, the DEIS findings suggest that the turbines may enhance recreational fishing by creating an artificial reef.

Turbine noise will be inaudible to boaters in the area, allowing them to hear foghorns during bad weather. The turbines and foghorns will not be heard on land.

The site where the wind turbines will be built is ideal based on criteria such as strength of wind, transmission capacity, availability of land, and similar engineering and design factors.

The project will be visible from shore, including from structures and districts that are designated as National Historic Landmarks, plus some other historic districts and buildings. The daytime views of Nantucket Sound will be changed from places on the Cape and Islands that face the project area. The closest the project will be to land is about four miles offshore from Yarmouth, with greater distances from Hyannis, Martha's Vineyard, and Nantucket.

Lighting required by the Federal Aviation Administration will be visible on clear nights in areas that previously had a mostly unbroken view. The project proposes to use marine gray paint and lowest intensity lighting possible to minimize visual impact.

The DEIS covers numerous other potential consequences of the project, and finds that

11/30/2004

e likely to occur will be temporary or can be mitigated by project design and construction practices.

I agree with the thoughts above and believe the aesthetic considerations are outweighed by the large volume of clean energy production.

Sincerely,

Joshua M Angelus

943

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Kay Hartnett [KHartnett@clarku.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:35 AM
To: 'wind.energy@usace.army.mil'
Subject: Cape Wind

I am a resident of Worcester, and would be proud to have a windmill farm producing my energy. I hope that the Cape Cod wind project is implemented. It is necessary, and more communities should be producing power this way. Maybe we wouldn't have to wage war on oil-producing countries then.

Thanks for the opportunity to support Cape Wind.

Kay Hartnett.

Kay Hartnett
Creative Services Manager
Clark University
Public Affairs
www.clarku.edu

944

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Anthony Patt [apatt@bu.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:40 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: comment to Cape Wind Proposal

Dear Army Corps of Engineers,

I want to voice my support for the Cape Wind Energy proposal. I speak as a professor of environmental policy, with a theoretical focus on the psychology of environmental choices. If the project is built, it will, potentially, aggravate local property owners, who are used to seeing an ocean vista without windmills present. It will surely reduce the region's carbon emissions, and therefore will reduce the interference of people's daily energy consumption on the natural environment, around the planet, for hundreds of years into the future (given the long residence time of carbon dioxide). The question of net environmental impact, it would seem, is whether the potential negative impact on a few people, by making their environment appear less "natural", outweighs the benefits of the project, namely making the global environment more "natural", by reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Speaking from my expert capacity, how people perceive changes to their environment, and value those changes, depends very much on what the status quo is. In many well-documented studies, people place a high negative value on a change before it occurs, and a much lower value on that change after it has occurred and they have adapted their perception of what is normal (Arkes et al 2002, Munroe and Sudgen 2003, Samuelson and Zeckhauser 1988). Indeed, in many cases, people have placed a negative value on "undoing" the original change; after they have readjusted their perception of status quo, they no longer want to depart from it (Ritov and Baron 1992, Patt and Zeckhauser 2001).

For the Cape Wind project, this means that there may be many people who, today, place a high negative value on the presence of windmills on Nantucket Sound. If the windmills are built, we can expect that many of those same people, after several years, will have become used to their presence, and in fact stop finding them unattractive. Others will move to the area, and not having experienced it without windmills, not find the windmills unattractive. Indeed, it would not be surprising that in several years, many would object to the windmills taken down.

This tendency of people to like the status quo means that it would be inappropriate to assume that negative values expressed today toward the windmills would continue long into the future. In general, this means that the negative environmental impact is lower than one might otherwise assume: the negative value associated with the windmills might only persist for several years. Of course, the effect of status quo bias (as it is called) also works on global climate change. In this case, however, since climate change is such a slow process, it is appropriate to count the negative valuation of climate change for many generations into the future, as long as climate change is occurring.

11/30/2004

Obviously this is a quickly written comment. I would be happy to provide more detail.

Sincerely,

Anthony Patt

References

Arkes, H.R., Kung, Y.-H. and Hutzel, L., 2002. Regret, valuation, and inaction inertia. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 87(2): 371-385.

Munroe, A. and Sugden, R., 2003. On the theory of reference-dependent preferences. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 50: 407-428.

Patt, A.G. and Zeckhauser, R., 2000. Action bias and environmental decisions. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 21(1): 45-72.

Ritov, I. and Baron, J., 1992. Status quo and omission biases. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 5: 49-61.

Samuelson, W. and Zeckhauser, R., 1988. Status quo bias in decision making. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 1: 7-59.

Dr. Anthony Patt

Assistant Professor and Director of Undergraduate Studies

Department of Geography

Boston University

675 Commonwealth Avenue

Boston MA 02215 USA

email: apatt@bu.edu

11/30/2004

phone: (+1) 617 447 8481

fax: (+1) 617 353 8399

945

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Pam Carle [pcarle@softech.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 11:45 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Farm

Hello,

As a long time Cape resident (no longer) and lover of all things "Cape", I am in favor of your installing the proposed windmills to provide us with less expensive energy. My one concern is the sea life near the installation site. I think it is very important to construct the windmills in a way that does not adversely affect the fish, ground dwellers, and other life out there, however small.

I hope the project moves ahead -- carefully.

Pam Carle
Bedford

11/30/2004

946

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Jeanne [wolfwoman2@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 12:00 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind

Although I am very much for alternative energy, I am having great difficulty accepting the location of this wind turbine project. We have blighted our land with telephone poles and wires, asphalt, deforestation, and strip mining; our skylines with factory chimneys, huge buildings, transmission and cell phone towers, (just to mention a FEW things) and now our ocean with wind turbines?? There are all ready oil rigs in the ocean in various places and now we are adding more unnatural sights and sounds to our last place of natural beauty??? I know we need to find something to reduce our dependency on oil but at what cost to magnificent beauty?? Surely there must be some way to make this energy source more palatable and acceptable!

Thank you.

Jeanne Oliphant
229 Green Street
Marblehead, MA 01945
wolfwoman2@comcast.net

947

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Richard Parmett [srparmet@hotmai.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 12:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Farm

I am a regular visitor to the cape and islands.

I am in favor of a wind farm off the Cape Cod coast.

Stuart Richard Parmett

Buckland MA

948

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Marlena Doucette [mldoucette@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 1:05 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Wind Farm

November 30, 2004

Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy Project EIS Project Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Ms. Kirk-Adams,

I am a member of the Union of Concerned Scientists as well as a homeowner in Falmouth, MA. My family and friends enjoy the waters around Falmouth every summer. I support the wind farm project. The concerns raised against it, in my opinion, do not merit scrapping the project. We need to find renewable sources of energy and alternatives to oil. With the dangers of global warming ever increasing, it seems really quite irresponsible not to seize this opportunity. What good is preserving the view if the environment slowly crumbles around us? We've got to turn the ship before it's too late, and I think the wind farm is a step in the right direction. Thank you for taking my views into consideration.

Sincerely,

Marlena Doucette

11/30/2004

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Mary L. Johnson [mljohnson@cheshire.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 1:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind project

Dear Sirs,

This message is to support the Cape Wind project. It is my guess that this project has much less potential for environmental damage than the oil drilling platforms in the Gulf of Mexico, while at the same time having much greater potential for improving the environment through reduction of the use of fossil fuels. If the residents of the Gulf Coast states can put up with the inherent problems associated with off-shore oil drilling, then the residents of New England surely ought to be able to put up with whatever disadvantages may result from the Cape Wind project. I suspect that birds are smarter than we think, and after an initial period of some bird kill, most of them will probably learn to avoid the windmills. As for the view, I rejoice every time I see a phalanx of windmills generating electricity, as I know that this is about the cleanest source of power available. I put such views in the same category as beautiful bridges and stately buildings, all among man's most excellent achievements. Fossil fuels are not going to last forever, and we need to prepare for the day when they will run out by exploring every possible source of renewable energy. I believe that the Cape Wind project is a good step in that direction.

Yours truly,
Mary L. Johnson
Winchester, NH

950

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Meredith Mackin Riley [meredithriley@sprintmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 1:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Project

To Whom it May Concern,

As a resident of Connecticut and, therefore, New England, I support the Cape Wind Project 100% and am thoroughly excited and the prospect of using natural energy resources.

My greatest hope in this endeavor is that it will spread to other states and the nation to not only help us environmentally, but also to help reduce our taxes.

I thank you for your efforts and I wish you much success.

Sincerely,

Meredith Mackin Riley
New Fairfield, CT

951

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: dscaglila@cppmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 2:00 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Please extend the public comment period on the Cape Wind DEIS



Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Diane Scagliola

11/30/2004

952

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Janet S. Lloyd [jslloyd@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 2:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Please don't build this

But if this project is a go, then please insure that the wind towers are removed in their entirety in a decade when the expenses exceed the income. The cost should be covered by Wind Farms and not by the taxpayer as "we the people" have already given up the land for free.

Thanks,

Janet Lloyd
Cambridge, MA

FIGHT BACK AGAINST SPAM!
Download Spam Inspector, the Award Winning Anti-Spam Filter
<http://mail.giantcompany.com>

Adams, Karen K NAE

953

From: jeff toste [jefftoste@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 2:30 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape wind

To whom it may concern -

I would just like to express my full support for the Cape Wind project. I have read a great deal about the project, attended a presentation of its development, and visited the single wind turbine in Hull Massachusetts to see a wind turbine in action.

I was very pleased by the Hull turbine and found it surprisingly quite, attractive and its level of energy output impressive. I have seen images of the proposed multiple turbines and find them very aesthetically appealing. I find it hard to imagine, and impossible to agree with anyone who would consider the Cape Wind project anything but beneficial.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeff Toste
Providence RI
(401) 455-1775

954

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: John Langton [jlangton@cra.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 2:41 PM
To: 'wind.energy@usace.army.mil'
Subject: DEIS

DEIS represents an incredible step forward in addressing America's energy concerns. The only argument against it is one of aesthetics, which I find completely absurd. This is not because I find concerns of aesthetics absurd in themselves, but the fact that people could be so short sighted and not think of the long term aesthetic implications of nuclear energy or more oil and coal energy. There is absolutely no practical reason to go forward with DEIS and this is such a critical time to push forward on this type of energy provider. With a success wind farm here we can push for further development and aim for long term amelioration of energy concerns. This MUST go forward!

Sincerely,

John T. Langton
94 Corey Rd. #16
Brighton, MA 02135

955

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Janet Fitch Parker [jfitcparker@gis.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 3:09 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Project

Hello,

I was referred to this e-mail address by the Union of Concerned Scientists and I wanted to express that I strongly support this project. I think that we don't have many, if any, energy options left except for renewable resources. It's hard for most of us to fathom the fact that oil is a finite commodity, and that if we're going to sustain life on this planet, we're going to have to make some radical moves, even if they disturb the way we're used to seeing our landscape. I realize that living in Marblehead makes it easy to say it's fine to alter the aquatic landscape of the Cape and Islands area, but I genuinely believe the turbines themselves and the whole concept will draw tourists. It would make a wonderful field trip for school children. Massachusetts is known for being on the cutting edge of innovation and creativity, and this could be a real plus for our Commonwealth.

Janet Fitch Parker
36 Arthur Ave.
Marblehead, MA 01945
jfitcparker@gis.net

11/30/2004

956

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cwrinn [cwrinn@optonline.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 3:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind

I fully support renewable energy!

I would like more data to be done on migrating birds.
Do you have any?

thank you,

chris wrinn
56 harborview ave
milford, ct 06460

957

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Duane Caswell [duane@waycrossga.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 2:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Re: Wind Farm

Speaking as a property owner in Chatham with property facing Nantucket Sound, I would like to add my voice in favor of the wind farm plan. We lag far behind the rest of the civilized world in the use of alternative power sources and I do not believe that we should allow ourselves to get farther behind to satisfy the egos of local property owners and tourists who have nothing of substance to offer except that the wind farm will spoil their view or that they will have to sail among the turbines. Lets step into the 21st century.

Duane A. Caswell
70 Diane Drive
Chatham, MA 02633

11/30/2004

958

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Michael Dalterio [m.dalterio@comcast.net]

Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 3:21 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: I am in favor of the Cape Wind Project! The aesthetics are beautiful to me.

Dear People,

The only objection to this project is aesthetic, and this is a subjective factor.

Personally, I find that these structures are beautiful. I have visited large wind farms in California and Wyoming, and I found both of them to be awe inspiring.

Sincerely,

Michael J Dalterio
652 Concord Road
Sudbury, MA 01776
m.dalterio@comcast.net

11/30/2004

959

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Bill Wickes [Bill@wickesmarine.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 2:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Wind mill project

Dear Karen Adams,
I am very much against the wind mill installation proposed for Nantucket Sound. Please extend the comment period to 180 days, to allow adequate time to review the DEIS.

This is prime public waters. It is one of the most widely used bodies of water for recreational and commercial use in the United States, and one of the prettiest. It is totally inappropriate to locate wind mills right in the center of it. Not only would it be an eye sore but a hazard to navigation. It would be like locating a power plant on the edge of the Grand Canyon.

I am not against wind power and know we have to balance energy generation with environmental issues. This is not the correct balance. Can you imagine if this plan were not financially successful, and the company decided to abandon the project or went bankrupt. Nantucket sound would be littered with the remains for generations.

A more acceptable place would be on Nantucket shoals south east of Nantucket, far enough away so they could not be seen from land. The water is shallow, which the developers want, and there is almost no boating traffic in this area. Although; covering the liability of the project still would need to be assured.

Sincerely,
Bill Wickes

960

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Michelle Veasey [mveasey@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 3:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Wind Farm on Cape Cod

I feel it important that we all make sacrifices, in order to reduce our dependance on oil, foreign or domestic. Even though the visual impact is significant, it is important that we support renewable sources of energy, since our demand for energy does not seem to diminish. If it were proposed for the "visual scape" in my community, I would support ot as well.

Michelle Veasey
24 Crown Hill Road
Atkinson, NH 03811

961

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Sally Cartwright [scartwright@acadia.net]**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2004 3:53 PM**To:** Energy, Wind**Subject:** Clean Electric Power

Dear Sirs,

I've sailed just south of Cape Cod for years, and have spent many summers on the Vineyard. A spread of wind mills in the shoal waters south of the Cape would not, *could* not, diminish the beauty of the area. Instead it would greatly benefit those of us living on the Cape and nearby islands. Clean electric power is an asset to us all!

Sincerely yours,

Sally Cartwright

scartwright@acadia.net

11/30/2004

962

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Karen Longeteig [KLongeteig@rcn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 4:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Farm

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a landscape designer and as such am concerned with both ecology and the visual aspects of the landscape.

I am IN FAVOR of the Cape Wind Farm. My concern was for the bird migrations, but I am satisfied that the studies have shown that birds will not be unduly impacted.

In an ideal world we would leave the views across the sound alone, but I think the wind energy structures are not uninteresting in themselves, and will provide such a tremendous benefit to the air quality of the whole region that permission should be granted to construct them.

Karen Longeteig
Going Native Gardens
143 Concord Avenue
Lexington MA 02421
781/862-4094

11/30/2004

963

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: The Reines [thereines@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 4:12 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Project

To the Cape Wind Energy Project:

The success of this project will be a boon for the alternative energy effort in this country. Massachusetts will benefit enormously from developing the first off-shore wind farm and from a progressive attitude toward developing new energy sources. The project will be positive from every possible angle. There are no serious reasons to withhold approval. In particular, the view from the Cape will be fine - just slightly different than it is now. Bird protection will improve and your study showed that it was not a severe problem anyway.

Please approve this project so the U.S. can begin to reduce the emissions that are a national disgrace.

Regards,
Kathleen Reine
11 Cottage St
Wellesley, MA 02482

11/30/2004

964

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: barbara deane-gillett [deaneg@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 4:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: cape wind support

as an engineer, I am strongly in support of the cape wind project for the following reason:

if one looks at the wind resource availability map proudly presented by the corps to the Mass Technology Collaborative a few years ago, one can see that with the exception of a few small ridges in western mass and vermont, all of new england's vast wind resources (>15 mph) are located off shore. or as the bank robber said, "go where the money is."

as a former corps employee, I support the project because it is criminally insane to continue losing corpsmen in a futile war in Iraq while oil is used to generate electricity in new england while ignoring the vast wind potential off the cape.

drew gillett, p.e.

11/30/2004

965

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Bonnie Weiss [bonnieweiss@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 11:46 PM
To: Adams, Karen K
Subject: opposing wind farm in nantucket sound

Dear Ms. Adams,

We have limited amounts of beauty, we are recognizing the folly of having destroyed beautiful canyons to build dams, and are tearing some of those dams down -- it is strange that at the same time we are considering destroying Nantucket sound with 130 wind mills, each of which will reach more than 400 feet into the air. The amount of energy generated is trivial compared with the destruction of the environment. What will the next generation think when they are tearing these windmills down? A trivial increase in energy taxes would have a larger impact on our oil consumption than all these windmills. Nantucket sound is a unique national treasure. Don't destroy it.

Bonnie Weiss
46 Abbottsford Rd.
Brookline MA. 02446

966

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Rigione, Sally M NAE on behalf of Harvie, Annmarie R NAE
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 7:04 AM
To: Adams, Karen K NAE
Cc: Harvie, Annmarie R NAE
Subject: FW: Inability to access materials

Karen -- Ann Marie received the following email. Sally

-----Original Message-----

From: J Spence [mailto:jays42@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2004 6:19 PM
To: Harvie, Annmarie R
Cc: comments@saveoursound.org
Subject: Inability to access materials

Dear Ms. Harvie,

I have tried several times, to no avail, to download specific sections of the Army Corps DEIS on the Cape Wind proposal. Each time I try to access the files my computer locks up. I have a relatively new computer, cable modem access to the Web, and face no problems accessing any number of other sites and or documents via the Web. I can only assume the problem lies with the enormity and layout of the DEIS report.

For example, let me call to your attention Section 3.0 - Figures. According to the table of contents, this section includes renditions of what the project will look like from several different vistas on the Cape and Islands. These images are something that I have a strong desire to see. However, in order to access this information, I am forced to try and download 25mb of information - or 75 pages PDF files. I stress the word "try", because to date I have no success in getting the information I want and need in order to comment.

I think this points out two clear problems with the DEIS report:

- 1) The 60 day period for public comment is far too short. Even if I could download the report off your site, it appears that I would have to read and absorb more than 60 pages of complicated data per day.
- 2) The report is structured, or presented, in a manner that makes it practically impossible for those who are interested to access and read. If the intent is to widely distribute the information and honestly appeal for public feedback, then the Corps must do more than simply post a massive amount of information on the Web.

The current approach risks suggesting that the public comment period is nothing more than a formality that should be dispensed with and completed as soon as possible -- checking something off the "to do list" rather than genuinely looking for substantive comment.

This project has massive implications for those of us who live on Cape Cod -- and potentially for those in every other coastal community across the nation as well. We deserve an efficient, transparent process that welcomes public feedback in a way that adds to the review. The current approach suggests that the Corps doesn't really care about what the public thinks. Either that, or the process is grossly inefficient. If it's the former I think that's shameful. If it's the latter, I worry that the entire review process is of limited value and tells us little or nothing about the true impact of such a massive project.

Sincerely,

11/30/2004

Justin R. Spence
46 Pine Ridge Road
Cotuit, MA 02635

967
25 November 2004

Karen Kirk-Adams
US Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Rd.
Concord, MA 01742

Re: Cape Wind Energy Project

Ms. Kirk-Adams:

In today's news, global warming and unstable fossil fuel supplies are being increasingly acknowledged as problems that are here to stay. More than ever, we must look for long term solutions to these problems.

The proposed Cape Wind project offers a promising model for doing this, while also creating new jobs and boosting local air quality.

We have an historic opportunity to lead our nation into a new era of cleaner, more independent energy production. Please do not let this project get mired in political controversy.

Sincerely,

Stephen Bosco
Kari Bosco

Stephen Bosco
Kari Bosco
33 Webster St.
Arlington, MA 02474

RECEIVED
NOV 30 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION



968

State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations

One West Exchange Street
Providence, RI 02903
401-222-2601

November 18, 2004

Michael McMahon
Executive Counselor
to the Governor on Economic
Growth and Community
Development

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
N.E. District
Attn: Karen Adams
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

RE: Cape Wind Energy Project

Dear Ms. Adams:

I am writing in regard to the Cape Wind Energy Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently prepared by the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

In my capacity as the Executive Director of the Rhode Island Economic Development Corporation I am responsible for encouraging the preservation, expansion and sound development of new and existing industry, business, commerce, agriculture, tourism, and recreational facilities, promoting thereby the economic development of Rhode Island. The Cape Wind Energy Project is related to Rhode Island's economic development efforts in at least three areas which I would like to draw to your attention: environmental impact, energy costs, and job creation.

With regard to potential environmental impact of the Cape Wind Energy Project, I have confidence that the Environmental Impact Statement process that you are managing should serve to avoid or mitigate any negative environmental impacts of this project to Massachusetts and Rhode Island. I believe that New England needs to pursue vigorously the development of environmentally responsible alternative energy sources such as the Cape Wind Energy Project, and I am encouraged by the progress that Cape Wind represents on this front.

RECEIVED
NOV 23 2004
OFFICE OF THE
EXECUTIVE COUNSELOR

With regard to energy costs, Rhode Island, like the rest of New England, remains at an economic disadvantage to other regions of the country due to the higher cost of energy. Indeed, in today's global marketplace, firms in Rhode Island and throughout New England compete not only nationally but internationally. I am particularly encouraged by your finding that "the project will add energy with a near zero marginal cost to the New England electric distribution grid and as a result... will impose downward pressure on market-clearing prices in the region... (p.1-23)."

Finally, with regard to job creation, the Cape Wind Energy Project could have a significant positive impact for Rhode Island. Indirectly, the lower energy costs that may result from the Cape Wind project for the region would help to stimulate jobs and investment in Rhode Island. Directly, I fully expect that Rhode Island's workers, products and services would be involved in the construction and operation of the Cape Wind Energy Project.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have questions or comments.

Best regards,



Michael McMahon
Executive Director
RI Economic Development Corporation

10 Cedar Road
Belmont, MA 02478

Mr. & Mrs. Charles Caverty, Jr.

150 Westwood Road
N. Falmouth, MA 02556

969

November 29, 2004

Karen Adams, Project Mgr.
Regulatory Division
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

**Re: Cape Wind Report
Nantucket Sound**


Dear Ms. Adams,

Please be advised that as a homeowner on Cape Cod, I am very much opposed to the Cape Wind Project.

After having recently returned from California and actually viewed the wind towers that were massive in size, with broken propellers, some units working, others not working, created a blight to the area and I just can't visualize this taking place in Nantucket Sound.

Therefore, I respectfully request that the Army Corp of Engineers allow an extension of the Comment Period from 60 days to 180 days in order for people to make their points of view known!

Sincerely,


Charles R. Lavery, Jr.

RECEIVED
NOV 30 2004
CRL,Jr:nh
REGULATORY DIVISION

To Army Corp of Engineers ⁹⁷⁰

Re - Cape Wind Energy

The objections raised by Cape Codders who say the windmills will spoil their view really points out how selfish they are. With the shortage of energy supply it seems only logical to use every possible means to produce our own. By that I do not mean drilling for oil in pristine areas or by using any method that would decimate wildlife and the diversity of the planet.

From my meagre technical knowledge, I assume that the gears and machinery necessary to use the wind for energy have been calibrated so there will be no harm to under-sea life. If this is true, I can

... see no objection to mind power.

To the argument that not enough power would be generated, let us not forget that a dripping faucet can fill a bathtub.

Yours,

Winne Sargent

(I've watched this old world grow and change for over 87 years.)

RECEIVED

NOV 30 2001

REGULATORY DIVISION

Adams, Karen K NAE

~~97/~~

From: joel ornoy [joelo554@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 5:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind energy project

97/

Hello,
I am writing in support of the proposed wind energy project planned for Nantucket. According to the Union of Concerned Scientists such a project could provide up to 75% of the area's power needs. At the same time, this will obviously lessen our dependence on the fossil fuels that have caused so much social and environmental devastation globally.

I strongly urge you to proceed with wind energy projects wherever possible.

Sincerely
J Ornoy
1115 Trinity Ave.
Boston MA 02621

Adams, Karen K NAE

~~473~~

From: Dori Smith [dsmith@newview.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 5:20 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: comments on the wind generator project

972

As an environmentally concerned citizen, I have followed this project with some interest. I am pleased that it has gotten to this stage, and that most of the research shows a positive impact.

I'd like to cast my vote IN FAVOR of the wind generators. In addition, I'd like to say that I think they will be beautiful, and will fit in with the seascape. I hope that in time the people who are concerned about changing the view will begin to see the beauty, as well.

Regards,

Dori Smith
Gardens for Life
6 Half Moon Hill, Acton MA 01720
978-635-1145
978-835-5568 (cell)
978-264-3974 (fax)
www.gardensforlife.net

This message has been scanned for viruses by Norton Antivirus software.

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Viehmann, Laura R. MD [LViehmann@Lifespan.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 5:27 PM
To: 'wind.energy@usace.army.mil'
Subject: Cape Wind Turbine Project

~~973~~

973

I am writing to state that I am in favor of the Cape Wind Energy Project. A lifelong New England resident, I spent much time visiting Craigville in the summers and Osterville when my Grandparents lived there from 1973 - 2000. I have seen the Cape change in many ways and the impact of the wind farm on the views seems so little when compared with the shopping on Rt 28 and surrounds. We need clean and renewable energy sources. As a pediatrician I daily treat children for asthma, allergies and skin disorders which are effected by the environment. Today I diagnosed a 19 month old boy with allergies by looking at his nose. When I was in medical school 16 years ago we were taught that children under two have few if any allergy symptoms in the nose or lungs, but this is no longer true. More cleaner air will benefit so many!

Thank you,

Laura R Viehmann
2 Abbott rn Valley Rd
Cumberland RI 02864

Adams, Karen K NAE

~~975~~
974

From: Gordon Strother [gordlyd@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 6:09 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind project

As a New Englander, I'm happy, indeed, about the possibilities of harvesting wind off Cape Cod. The need for supplementing our energy requirements is obvious, the benefits should surely be positive. A possible side benefit, which I'm sure you're on top of, is the enhancement of marine life around the artificial reefs created by the proposed generators. I wish you well in this exciting endeavor.

Gordon Strother
27 Walnut Hill Rd.
Bethel, CT

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Tim Parker [tparker@gis.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 6:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Cc: action@ucsaction.org
Subject: Comments on Cape Wind project.

~~975~~
975

I submit the following comments for your consideration in the process of approval of this project.

1. I do not think the wind turbines will be an eyesore. My son lives in Malmo, Sweden. From there one can look across at the Wind turbines in Denmark. We have sailed on the Sound near them. They are not unattractive, in my opinion, nor are they a hazard to navigation. I am familiar with Nantucket Sound, having spent summers in Nantucket and sailed in the area. The wind turbines may be an aid to navigation. I think one of them should be painted white and serve as a landmark, like a lighthouse.
2. The energy produced would reduce our use of fossil fuel. I am well aware of the pollution produced by some fossil fuels, as I live in Marblehead, near the coal-fired generating plant in Salem.
3. I suspect that the bird deaths resulting from the turbines would be negligible in comparison to other wild animals and plants that we have already decimated or made extinct due to lack of concern for the environment. Therefore, I respectfully suggest that this project be approved.

Augustin H. Parker
36 Arthur Avenue
Marblehead, MA 01945

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Stephen Mott [scmott@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 6:34 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: My comments on Cape Wind project

Please consider very carefully the positive energy implications of the Cape Wind project.

I was impressed with the Army Corps of Engineer's report. I include the summary below. Of course you are familiar with it, but my summary will indicate what has impressed me.

Thank you,
Stephen Mott

The Army Corps' preliminary DEIS findings include the following:

- The Cape Wind project would result in \$1.5–\$2 billion in economic benefits to the U.S. economy. The project would create about 391 full-time jobs during construction and installation, plus about 50 full-time jobs for operation and maintenance once the project is operational.
- It would also reduce the region's dependence on fossil fuels, save money on electricity bills, and enable Massachusetts to comply with its renewable energy standard at a reduced cost.
- The project will lead to improved air quality and reductions in heat-trapping gas emissions from other New England power plants.
- There will be some bird deaths from collisions with the wind turbines. However, the DEIS projects that bird mortality will not have a biologically significant impact on any bird populations. The risk to birds that people are most concerned about—the Roseate tern and Piping plover—is predicted to be especially small since they rarely fly over the proposed wind farm site. Some temporary displacement of birds is predicted during construction but once this facility is built bird nesting will not be greatly affected.
- There will be no substantial impact on commercial fishing activity or recreational fishing. In fact, the DEIS findings suggest that the turbines may enhance recreational fishing by creating an artificial reef.
- Turbine noise will be inaudible to boaters in the area, allowing them to hear foghorns during bad weather. The turbines and foghorns will not be heard on land.
- The site where the wind turbines will be built is ideal based on criteria such as strength of wind, transmission capacity, availability of land, and similar engineering and design factors.
- The project will be visible from shore, including from structures and districts that are designated as National Historic Landmarks, plus some other historic districts and buildings. The daytime views of Nantucket Sound will be changed from places on the Cape and Islands that face the project area. The closest the project will be to land is about four miles offshore from Yarmouth, with greater distances from Hyannis, Martha's Vineyard, and Nantucket.
- Lighting required by the Federal Aviation Administration will be visible on clear nights in areas that previously had a mostly unbroken view. The project proposes to use marine gray paint and lowest intensity lighting possible to minimize visual impact.
- The DEIS covers numerous other potential consequences of the project, and finds that those likely to occur will be temporary or can be mitigated by project design and construction practices.

977

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Marilyn Voorhies [lynny@downeast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 6:47 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind project

To whom it may concern:

Because the Cape Wind project has the potential to provide a significant amount of renewable energy to New England, we believe it is very important to participate fully in the review process. Please consider the following as our official comments.

Based on the Army Corps' preliminary DEIS findings, we feel strongly supportive of the following points:

- The Cape Wind project would result in \$1.5-\$2 billion in economic benefits to the U.S. economy. The project would create about 391 full-time jobs during construction and installation, plus about 50 full-time jobs for operation and maintenance once the project is operational.
- It would also reduce the region's dependence on fossil fuels, save money on electricity bills, and enable Massachusetts to comply with its renewable energy standard at a reduced cost.
- The project would lead to improved air quality and reductions in heat-trapping gas emissions from other New England power plants.
- There would be some bird deaths from collisions with the wind turbines. However, it is projected that bird mortality would have no biologically significant impact on any bird populations. The risk to birds which causes the most concern-the Roseate tern and Piping plover-is predicted to be especially small since they rarely fly over the proposed wind farm site. Some temporary displacement of birds is predicted during construction but once this facility is built bird nesting would not be appreciably affected.
- There will be no substantial impact on commercial fishing activity or recreational fishing. In fact, experts suggest that the turbines may enhance recreational fishing by creating an artificial reef.
- Turbine noise will be inaudible to boaters in the area, allowing them to hear foghorns during bad weather. The turbines and foghorns will not be heard on land.
- The site where the wind turbines will be built is ideal, based on criteria such as strength of wind, transmission capacity, availability of land, and similar engineering and design factors.
- The project will be visible from shore, including from structures and districts that are designated as National Historic Landmarks, plus some other historic districts and buildings. The daytime views of Nantucket Sound will understandably be altered from places on the Cape and Islands facing the project area. The closest the project will be to land is about four miles offshore from Yarmouth, with greater distances from Hyannis, Martha's Vineyard, and Nantucket. This seems quite acceptable.
- Lighting required by the Federal Aviation Administration will be visible on clear nights in areas that previously had a mostly unbroken view. The project proposes to use marine gray paint and lowest intensity lighting possible to minimize visual impact, again an acceptable situation considering the enormous advantages of the wind farm.
- The DEIS covers numerous other potential consequences of the project, and finds that those likely to occur will be temporary or can be mitigated by project design and construction practices.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit our positive comments on this invaluable project.

Sincerely,

Bill & Marilyn Voorhies
Clark Point Rd.
West Tremont, ME 04612

12/1/2004

978

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: C. Burton & S. Doherty [seabird@capecod.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 7:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape wind

Energy people,

I would be glad to have a windmill in my back yard, but I understand the people who do not want a flurry of sticks sticking up on their horizon. I would be in favor of the windtowers being just a wee bit further out beyond view.

Thank you, CanarySue Doherty
Canary Burton
Box 3057
Wellfleet, Ma
02667

LISTEN TO WOMR <http://www.womr.org>

979

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Lou Richer [louricher@cox.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 7:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: the cape wind project

hi:)...my name is chena immel, and i just got an email about the cape wind project...from what i can see, this would be a fantastic resource...we need as much renewable energy as we can get...now, i do not live on cape cod, and i do not know what side effects would be brought by these wind machines, but i can't imagine that they would be worse than the polluting sources of energy this country depends on right now...but i suppose anything is possible...

our greatest responsibility as inhabitants of this planet is to the planet itself, which gives us life, and into which we are entwined...if this project will really yield safe and renewable energy without harming its surroundings (plant, animal, and human), then i give my full support and i think you should go ahead with it...

have a great day:)

chena

980

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Feigen, Marc [marc.feigen@katzenbach.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 6:58 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: Extension for Nantucket Sound

To Whom it May Concern:

I would like to add my name to the many who have asked that the Army Corps of Engineers extend the comment period for the development of a wind farm in Nantucket Sound from 60 to 180 days, as 4000 pages is a lot to digest in a short period.

Thank you for your attention,

Marc Feigen

12/1/2004

981

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jhchcoffin [jhchcoffin@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 7:57 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Nantucket Sound Windfarm proposal

Ms. Karen Adams,

Like so many other individuals, families, businesses and organizations I strongly oppose even the thought of the proposal of this wind farm anywhere in or near the precious waters of our eastern shores.

The idea of intentionally presenting a hazard to navigation, wildlife and air traffic is absurd! This is also one of the most unique and beautiful coastlines in the country, home to many families and businesses who thrive on the natural beauty.

It is clearly obvious that the economic benefits are not going to be a reality except for the greed of a few developers and all of that at the cost of taxpayers.

From a purely safety and economic standpoint, the construction, maintenance and eventual dismantlement of this project will be a hazard to all involved. I urge you to take this into consideration with your recommendation.

John H. Coffin

12/1/2004

982

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Allan Moniz [almo@cape.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 8:19 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: windfarm proposal

I'm all for renewable energy and especially for windmills (I was born in Holland!!), but why in the water?? How about on top of old landfills, right here on Cape Cod?

Should be a lot cheaper to build and maintain, also cheaper to transport the energy gained. They probably will get somewhat less wind, but they won't obstruct any views and I assume less birds would get killed...

Also once a private company gets all this federal "land" for free, what is going to prevent others from building in the ocean??

Sincerely, Maria Moniz (Falmouth)

9B-3

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Robert Knudson [bobk@olympus.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 9:41 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape wind

Sirs/Mesdames:

To be brief, I am looking forward to more wind power projects in appropriate places in the U.S. Good luck with this, I heartily support wind energy!

Sincerely,

Bob Knudson
Instrument Development Laboratory
Marine Biological Lab
107 MBL Street
Woods Hole, MA 02543

984

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: pcost@cox.net
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 9:51 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: CAPE WIND PROJECT

To whom it may concern:

I live in southern Rhode Island and strongly support the Cape Wind Project. I am the Captain of a commercial ship which delivers coal to power plants in the area. The proposed location of the towers will not hinder commercial shipping. I enjoy sport fishing and do not see how the project could negatively affect that sport. I also feel that pleasure boating will not be negatively affected. The only criticism I see which has any validation is that the towers will take away from the natural beauty of the open ocean. That may be true but it is on a very small scale. It sounds more like people just being adverse to any change. The Cape Wind Project has the potential of helping to improve air quality in the Northeast and to reduce our dependence on foreign oil. At a time when my neighbors' children are literally being killed fighting in part to defend our dependence on foreign oil I can't see how anyone could be against an attempt to develop technology which would help reduce that dependence. I have yet to meet a single person which is against the project. I hope reason prevails and the project proceeds in a timely manner.

Sincerely,
Capt. Paul Costabile
23 Paddock Ct.
Wakefield, RI 02879

12/1/2004

985

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: walbin@cox.net
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 9:56 PM
To: wind.energy@usace.army.mil.
Subject: Cape Cod Wind Farm

To the US Army Corps of Engineers;

America needs the proposed wind farm near Martha's Vinyard for some very important reasons:

1. Reduce global warming
2. Reduce America's trade deficit by replacing Arab oil with wind power.
3. Reduce acid rain, mercury pollution
4. Lower the cost of energy in New England.

Woodrow Albin, P.E.
150 Cattail Lane
North Kingstown, Ri 02852

mail2web - Check your email from the web at
<http://mail2web.com/> .

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Ed Surprenant [eusurp@monad.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 10:14 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape wind energy project

wind.energy@usace.army.mil.

Sometimes you get in a position to do great things. I am a teacher and I know what I am talking about. I had a call a couple of months ago from a kid who graduated from my school, a couple of years ago. He wanted to tell me that I made a difference in his life. He was calling from a staging area in Kuwait. Three weeks later he was killed by a RPG explosion while on patrol in Iraq. I made a difference and I will never forget. I will never forget that I have the potential to do great things. So do you. You have the potential to stand up to monied interests and take a stand for the future of our world, one that includes, cheap, clean RENEWABLE energy at the cost of what; a view? A view looked at by rich people that live on the Cape, for 8 weeks a year. It is absolutely insane to roll over due to pressure from a vocal minority...

I used to live on the Cape; in Eastham. Beautiful spot very near Nauset Beach and Kingsbury Beach; the ocean side and the bay side. Do you suppose for the decades that the merchant ship (we called it the liberty ship) was out there in the bay that thousands of people were complaining about its usefulness or necessity? No, they were not. The folks knew why it was there, accepted it for what it was and looked at it. The windmills are beautiful in their simplicity and their necessity. They are simple and peaceful. They will have to be avoided by the bird population in much the same way that the bird population has to avoid cars on the highway; they will learn in time.

Finally, I would like you to consider the overall impact these structures will have on the population. The average time that the horizon is visible on the Cape is less than 50%. First of all in the winter, it is much less than 70%. Take into consideration, fog, rain, snow and the like and you have additional time that these things will not be visible, except of course for the nav lights. So for a few hours a day in the summer, thousands of people will gaze upon these monoliths and bask in the realization that there is the reason that global warming is slowing down and all they have to do is look at them and say, "I did my part." The same thing you should be able to say, we all hope.

987

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Laurie Robertson-Lorant [laurielorant@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 10:41 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Link from SouthCoastToday.com



econ-enviro-vision

Hello! I thought my op-ed interest you.
<http://www.s-t.com/daily/11-04/11-29-04/a14op146.htm>

Laurie Robertson-Lorant

988

SOUTHCOASTTODAY

The Standard-Times

.COM

Presented By

Construction - Real Estate - Property Managementwww.fisherrocha.com

Your Town

Today's Edition

Check it out

Things to Do

Subsc

Marketplace

Find a Car
Find a Job
Find a Home
Find a Business
Cashback Mall

St. Luk

Your Town

Acushnet
Dartmouth
Fairhaven
Fall River
Freetown
Lakeville
Marion
Mattapoisett
New Bedford
Rochester
Wareham
Westport

Evangelical movement is about optimism

With the recent presidential election complete, the word "evangelical" become more familiar to many Americans and weaseled its way into the attentions of the media. It should now be known that the movement exudes an incredible optimism about the future that somehow gets shoved aside in light of the moral-values platform for which evangelicals tend to lobby with which they seem threatening to some.

I want to try to enumerate what this world-wide optimism includes. It is incredible because I think many less-staunch religious thinkers would call it preposterous. It is so optimistic and joyful. I also call this optimism inexhaustible because it is foundational to evangelicals' thinking that the things of their religion have yet to be fully realized.

One never runs out of hope and there are few evangelicals who would say "OK, been there, done that." The newness of what is coming in the future doesn't wear off.

First, the evangelicals believe that Jesus really did rise from the dead. That he did so not as a cool side-show phenomena or as some kind of metaphorical example of suffering-under-fire, but as a literal thing, which itself accomplished victory over death, physical and spiritual. Evangelicals are completely persuaded that they will live again in the after-life, that all again Christians will do so equally and even eternally. If nothing else, coupled with a complete escape from divine judgment for all sin, the atonement of Jesus is cause enough for high optimism.

Catholic and evangelical alike take deep draughts from the hope-elixir Mel Gibson gave us with in his movie, "The Passion of the Christ." It was nothing more than the historic doctrine of the atoning death of God's son.

Then, taking the Bible at face value when it predicts the actual return of Jesus to the planet, evangelicals look forward to this eventuality, which is also think is closer than farther away. This is no obscure interpretation of a couple of back-water Bible passages. If the Gospel records are accurate (and evangelicals are convinced they are), this literal coming-back is proclaimed plainly by Jesus, St. Peter, St. Paul and St. John. So exultant was the church about this that they thought his second coming was imminent in the first century.

Evangelicals say that they experience the effects of God's spirit residing right in their bodies in some wonderfully mystical way. Evangelicals even indulge the luxury, among themselves, of arguing the technical particulars of how wonderful his indwelling can be, thus the differences between Pentecostal/charismatic evangelicals, and the rest of the camp. The all-pervasive presence of God's very spirit, in a way few pre-Christian era Hebrews would give rise to a routine operational joy and thus, optimism, in the evangelical movement.

Today's Edition


Top Stories
Headlines
Local News
World/Nation
State/Region
Living
Sports
Opinion

Classified Ads

All
Announcements
Boating
Employment
Financial
Legal Notices
Miscellaneous
Personals
Real Estate
Rentals
Service
Professionals
Transportation

Subscribers Only

Full Headlines
 Obituaries
 Lottery
 Crossword
 Horoscopes
 Back Editions
 Special Reports
 Police Logs
 Building Permits



Then the sense of purpose that comes with being an evangelical gives him or her a sense of meaning for life. Rick Warren's best-seller book, "The Purpose Driven Life" (over 15 million copies thus far and still mounting) focuses on this feature of evangelical optimism. He says all people were engineered for a specific purpose, that we did not just happen by chance; we are not an accident and that if sought out, any person can actually live out a specific plan that God has designed for him or her.

Scope is another reason for the frothy optimism of the evangelical. They find their belief system far beyond the borders of the United States. That optimism is found among Chinese evangelical Christians, Peruvian evangelicals, British evangelicals, Korean evangelicals (where some of the world's largest churches are today) and Nigerian evangelicals (I met a missionary to Togo last week whose optimism shamed my own.). The movement spans time too. It wasn't spawned in the Scopes trial in the 1920s. It wasn't invented by the Pilgrims in 1620. It wasn't created during the Reformation. It goes back to the first century and with connectivity, all the way back to Abram of Ur (now modern Iraq).

When it comes to optimism in human efforts to find community, say companionship, evangelicals are convinced (with a wonder that exceeds Christmas) that they are kin to a family that transcends ethnic, national, social, gender and age boundaries and even the boundaries of time itself. Evangelicals do not look for the winning of 72 virgins in the after-life. They look for something almost beyond description called "the marriage feast of the Lamb" when all the redeemed will be welcome at Jesus' banquet table, collectively as "the Bride." If I wasn't one myself, and completely caught up in the optimism of the movement, I would say this is all too much to believe; almost too optimistic. You would think that reasonable Christians would have room for some dread and some insecurity and uncertainty. But you do not find that in the movement. Evangelicals seem completely caught up in the hopefulness that the New Testament mandates.

It seems to me this theme of incredible and inexhaustible optimism undergirds the entry on to the public scene of this movement. They have very clear ideas about some aspects of moral life. They do not and will not waffle or hedge or dumb-down their beliefs about those things. But they do not talk about much more than just telling other people how to live. In fact, as an evangelical, I rarely if ever tell someone else how to live. I just seek to live the optimism. In short, I have seen no more optimistic and hope-producing movement than the evangelical platform.

Rev. Neil Damgaard, Pastor of Dartmouth Bible Church since 1983.

This story appeared on Page A14 of The Standard-Times on November 29, 2004.



DISCUSS
THIS STORY



PRINT THIS
PAGE



EMAIL TO
A FRIEND

[Top](#)

[Site Map](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Advertise](#)

[Contact Web](#)

968

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Laurie Robertson-Lorant [laurielorant@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2004 10:42 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Cc: Congressman Frank
Subject: SouthCoast

To Whom It May Concern:

Now that the time for public comment has been extended, we MUST have a hearing somewhere on the South Coast, preferably New Bedford. We are located between Mirant Point and Brayton Point power plants, and we suffered the loss of birds and shellfish and clean beaches as a result of the oil spill, so why is there no hearing scheduled for this region?

Please correct this omission soon so we can make plans to be heard on this vital matter.

Thank you,

Laurie Robertson-Lorant
So. Dartmouth, Mass. 02748

South Coast Clean Power Now member
Coalition for Buzzard's Bay member
Lloyd Environmental Education Center member
New Bedford Historical Society member
NB Whaling Museum member
WHALE member
DNRT member
contributor to NRDC, Sierra Club, ELM, CLF, Wilderness Society
etc., etc.

Barbara C. Brodie
285 Dedham St.
Dover, MA 02030

989

RECEIVED
NOV 26 1997
NEW J. D. WEA

Ms. Karen Kink-Adams
Cape Wind Energy

Dear Ms. Kink-Adams,

I have a summer home on Nantucket, and I am very much in favor of the wind farm.

I have not heard of any serious objections, and I think it is crucial that we use every non-polluting and oil-free energy source possible. Moreover I find few wind farms esthetically pleasing.

Sincerely,

Barbara C. Brodie

990

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: fiftyford@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:32 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

John Westcott
1 Depot St.
Upton, MA 015681600

~~#88~~ ✓

Adams, Karen K NAE

991

From: scoplon@mindspring.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:37 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

-Stephanie Coplon
Stephanie Coplon
191 Pleasant St. Apt. F
Melrose, MA 021765169

993

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: peter@geminipress.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Peter G Tocci
22 Walker St
Leominster, MA 014533821

~~993~~ 993

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lgaughran@rcn.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 8:49 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Brooks Ballenger
70 Potwine Lane
Amherst, MA 010023351

994

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: elianarose@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:09 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Let's make Massachusetts a leader in green house emission reduction. Renewable energy such as wind power is the next big step in protecting our environment.
Eliana Strauss

Somerville, MA 02144

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: pfeiffer@acctg.umass.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:12 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Ray Pfeiffer
11 Kingman Road
Amherst, MA 01002-1586

996

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ffreedom@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Karen Bump
34 Maple St
Sterling, MA 015642834

997

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bayhee@webtv.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:30 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Sara Genthner
111 Bassett Brook Dr
Easthampton, MA 010271096

998

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: dkatz125@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:31 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I am very concerned about the environment. If Cape Win has substantial negative impact, I would be concerned. But I am more concerned at the moment that a "not in my backyard" philosophy is leading some to object to a worthwhile project, in the "name of" environmentalism.

Deborah Katz
125 Sumner Rd.
Brookline, MA 024456111

999

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ajkelleher@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:42 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Please keep this process moving forward.

Julie Kelleher
27 Cedar Street
Auburn, MA 015012701

1000

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: vovka0@gis.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:43 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. I strongly support this project, and I hope that this project and other renewable energy projects go forward as quickly as possible.

Rebecca Liberman
50 Pratt St.
Rading, MA 018673434

1001

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sallielee@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 9:56 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I come from California wher wind power is used. It is clean, it works and it saves precious energy. Anything we can do as a country to help conserve energy, promote clean air and create energy options is important.

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Sincerely,
Sallie Harshbarger
Marblehead, MA
Sallie Harshbarger
101 Harbor Ave.
Marblehead, MA 019453844

1002

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: squiera@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 10:00 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Antonia Squier
2 Wildwood Ln
Sturbridge, MA 015181164

1003

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kbozek.newdell@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 10:07 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Kenneth Bozek
9 Skinner Ln
South Hadley, MA 010751232

1004

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rshanrahan@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 10:14 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

ralph hanrahan
40 Fifers Lane
Boxborough, MA 017192130

Adams, Karen K NAE

1005

From: thalia@softhome.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 10:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Thalia Slocombe
290 Wine Rd
New Braintree, MA 015311604

1006

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jbeinashowitz@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:00 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jack Beinashowitz
51 Sherman Bridge Road
Wayland, MA 017781221

Adams, Karen K NAE

1007

From: janetperson@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:41 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

It is way past time to stop being dependent on foreign oil for our energy. It is extremely important for us to develop new, clean and renewable energy sources. Please look at this project carefully. Massachusetts should again be a leader in finding a better way for our nation to move into the future.

Thank you.
Janet Person
45 Loomis Street, #305
malDEN, MA 021482010

100B

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cjdjex@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
carol Jonas
162 Indian Neck Rd.
Wareham, MA 025712139

1009

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tessmcginn@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. There are a plethora of reasons to support wind energy; it is not harmful to the environment, good for our children's future and we need to get far away from our dependence on fossil fuels. Sorry that all those exceedingly wealthy people on the Cape are yelling "NIMBA," but let's be real, it is not like they are building an oil refinery off the coast...oil is just spilling out of tankers sailing off the coast...imagine a largely wind powered boat hauling something else besides oil.

Teresa McGinn
179 Willow Road
Nahant, MA 019081609

1010

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: imaant@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 8:06 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Marilyn McGrath
Parkwood Drive
pepperell, MA 01463

Adams, Karen K NAE

1011

From: lenznick@crocker.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 8:06 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I, for one of many, encourage the bold plan to develop offshore wind energy; I would preferentially buy electricity thus generated. At some point, Iraq will fall apart and we will have even less petroleum to steal. Let make at least one very small step forward.

Sincerely,
andrew lenz
144 N Leverett Rd
Leverett, MA 010549733

1012

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jscarbro@capecod.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 8:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. There are many who want to stop the Cape Wind Project- only for their own (NIMBY) reasons.

Jacquie Scarbrough
150 bank Street
Harwich Port, MA 026461321

1013

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rfrmcd@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 8:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Roxanne McDowell
910 East Broadway
Haverhill, MA 018301815

1014

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mherro@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 8:15 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

From what I've read, it appears to be a solid project worthy of implementation. The value far seems to outweigh any anticipated negative impact.

Mark Herrick
66 Old Cart Road
Hamilton, MA 019822519

1015

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: shed@shedward.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Edward Gilman
514 Gleasondale Rd
Stow, MA 017751437

1016

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jesmr35@comcast.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:38 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

John Peterson
35 Hyde hill road
Williamsburg, MA 010969710

Adams, Karen K NAE

1017

From: czerminsky@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:40 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Please make this project happen.
We need to convert to non-polluting energy sources as soon as possible!
Ryszard Czerminski
5 Davelin Road
Wayland, MA 017783109

1018

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lovejoy@crocker.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:46 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Nancy S. Lovejoy
425 Mountain Rd.
Wilbraham, MA 010951725

1019

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lbassinger@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:47 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

lori bassinger
1 brooks circle
beverly, MA 019152003

1020

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: erin.nicole@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:59 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Erin Shackelford
33 Concord Ave Apt 9
Cambridge, MA 021382340

1021

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ntshaw@peoplepc.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:03 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Everyone knows that energy sources other than fossil fuel are necessary and that in Massachusetts we have some of the filthiest fossil fuel energy sources in the world.

How can anyone with any degree of perception not wish for the promise of the wind farm?

The people who are fighting for esthetic reasons are responding with a knee jerk reaction to something that will prove a boon to their area both for fishing and as a tourist attraction.

Norman Shaw
144 Academy Avenue
Weymouth, MA 021884204

Adams, Karen K NAE

1022

From: MidnightKestrel@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Gabe Bronk
Derby St.
Newton, MA 02465

Adams, Karen K NAE

1023

From: jluk@smith.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jennifer Luk
1 Chapin Way
Northampton, MA 010636302

1024

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: coyla@townisp.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Coyla Woskie
47 Orchard Meadow Drive
Shrewsbury, MA 015456214

1025

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: martyn27@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:16 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

jennifer martyn
27 school st
byfield, MA 019221612

1026

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mayas@bgnet.bgsu.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 7:33 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Maya Santandrea
183 Farm Street
Blackstone, MA 015041367

Adams, Karen K NAE

1027

From: kelly@liebermans.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Kelly Pauneto
20 Pleasant St
Southampton, MA 010739557

Adams, Karen K NAE

1028

From: jonathan_grosshans@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:19 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jon Grosshans
865 Broadway
Somerville, MA 021441992

Adams, Karen K NAE

1029

From: sbrown1@berklee.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Seth Romano
168 Massachusetts Ave.
Boston, MA 021153010

1036

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ppascall@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

PLEASE,
Thank You,
Paul M. Pascall
Paul Pascall
91 Coolidge Street
Tewksbury, MA 018764057

Adams, Karen K NAE

1031

From: odeexo@netscape.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Oliver Deex
182 Captain Rd.
Longmeadow, MA 011062546

Adams, Karen K NAE

1032

From: amuehlenkamp@sc.rr.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:33 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Angel Muehlenkamp
205 Burnham Rd
Summerville, SC 294855145

Adams, Karen K NAE

1033

From: kerrfam@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:33 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I am very much in favor of wind power and the Cape Wind Project. I have seen firsthand the beauty and quiet production of power with thousands of windmills in southeastern Washington state. I very much support clean power production and urge the Army Corps of Engineers to approve the Cape Wind Project as a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Deborah Kerr
84 Hinckley Rd
Milton, MA 021862110

1034

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: anderson537@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:36 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

John Anderson
537 Daniel Shays Hwy
Belchertown, MA 010079156

Adams, Karen K NAE

~~1034~~
1035

From: hazelton@umassk12.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:39 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. We need to encourage more projects like this all over the country, but especially New England.

Lee Hazelton
15 Ed Holcomb Rd.
Southwick, MA 010779300

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: michaellohmiller@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Michael Lohmiller
32 Birch St
Lowell, MA 018523716

Adams, Karen K NAE

1037

From: hotar@runbox.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 6:04 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

F Corr
128 E Chestnut Hill
Montague, MA 013519558

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: saveferrous@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:27 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

After living on Cape Cod, I can tell you that the scenery is like no other: beaches, sand dunes, marvelous wilderness, and a view of the ocean that can't be beat. But now people on Cape Cod think that building a wind farm would take away that natural beauty. I guess some special interests like to continue to tell people that a POWER PLANT is a better, more aesthetically-pleasing source of energy than WIND MILLS, one of the oldest sources of power in the world. Please do not fall to ignorant, misguided views about what wind power could do for Cape residents, and all Americans. This is an extremely crucial time for you, the Army Corps of Engineers, and you should take it seriously. If you oppose this project, you could be impeding the development of renewable country all over the world. Do we want to continue to have to invade Arab countries, just for ENERGY? There's too much at risk. Please unanimously and unconditionally support this wind farm.

I'm writing as a citizen, an environmental expert, and a lover of CAPE COD.

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Rachel Boehr
5 Bradshaw Drive
Ossining, NY 105624501

1039

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: angelsiddha@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:34 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

donna Bonin
195 east broadway
Haverhill, MA 018304303

Adams, Karen K NAE

1040

From: walkingmancomics@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:48 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Hi,

I do believe in wind power. It's not going to save the world, but it might save the United States, at least save us some bucks now burning up in oil.

As a strong step toward further energy independence, successful wind power programs will encourage other forms of "renewable, clean energy."

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Thank you for all you can do to see this through.

Matt Levin
123 Elm St
Hatfield, MA 010389715

1041

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: steve@macleayconstruction.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Steven MacLeay
201 Bunce Rd
Ashley Falls, MA 012229726

Adams, Karen K NAE

1042

From: bpurcell6@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:59 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Elizabeth Purcell
122 Bailey Rd.
Andover, MA 018104241

Adams, Karen K NAE

10413

From: patriciahickey@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:01 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I fully support wind power as a reliable alternative to help reduce our dependence on fossil fuels. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. I encourage a prompt and thorough review of the Cape Wind Project that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Thank you.

Pat Hickey
1 Carleton Dr
Newburyport, MA 019502106

1044

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: shale@pubpol.umass.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:04 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

According to Lester Brown, founder of Worldwatch Institute and one of the world's most respected environmentalists, wind power is the most promising energy source of the future. He notes that it is "cheap, abundant, inexhaustible, widely distributed, clean, and climate-benign. No other energy source has all of these attributes."

Wind power is an excellent choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Susanne Hale
133 Shutesbury Road
Pelham, MA 01002-9703

1045

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mtaj234@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Gerard E. Fredette
141 Beauchamp Terrace
Chicopee, MA 010202534

1046

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cseneff@mfa.gwu.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:12 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Christina Seneff
3612 Faircastle Dr
Chevy Chase, MD 208155646

Adams, Karen K NAE

1047

From: jlashay@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 5:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jeri La Shay
25 Warwick St.
Somerville, MA 021453509

1048

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rreid@the-spa.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

The Cape Wind Project should be given a thorough and unbiased review. Wind energy is a promising solution to the current energy problem and therefore to many of the problems in our world, and should be given serious consideration.
Rebecca Reid
120 Pulpit Hill Rd #13
Amherst, MA 010024013

1049

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mkbnett@bu.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt, yet thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Matt Bennett
74 Ashford St.
Allston, MA 021341816

1050

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: okrichard@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Richard Foster
165E Main St. #414
Chicopee, MA 01020

Adams, Karen K NAE

1051

From: wtucker5@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:55 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I think the Cape Wind Project is a project whose time has come. I consider myself an environmentalist and this just makes so much sense to me. I can't for the life of me understand why the environmental movement hasn't supported this wholeheartedly. This seems like a great opportunity to wean ourselves a little bit from Mid-East oil. Shouldn't this be a huge priority?? I realize that the big money on the Islands don't want this in their back yard but they don't own the Sound do they? Let's do what is right for America's energy needs and get this project done.

William Tucker
40 Spaulding Rd
Chelmsford, MA 018241053

1052

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Swarts22@lycos.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

With our countrys' growing dependence on foreign oil, which seems to merely plunge our country further into needless wars, alleviating the pressure on our energy needs with alternative sources has become imperative. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

David Schwartz
72 Blake Rd.
Brookline, MA 024454502

Adams, Karen K NAE

1053

From: ave33@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. and is one of the few hopes that we can eventually be less dependent on other countries for our energy needs and , at the same time avoid degrading our environment. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront, and if there are issues have the inspire the public's motivation to solve them rather than just vetoing the idea.

Donald Avery
8 Avery Lane
South Yarmouth, MA 026641909

1054

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: DAKOTA88@CHARTER.NET
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:14 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. The concerns of residents about harming the view are shortsighted and selfish. We need to free ourselves from Arab oil. Wind power is a great alternative source of energy. I support this endeavor wholeheartedly.

Barbara Dennis
344 Sewall St
Boylston, MA 015052102

1055

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rfmelm@prodigy.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:15 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

RAY MORAN
3007 PALMER ROAD
BONDVILLE, MA 01009

Adams, Karen K NAE

1056

From: monicak@sonusnet.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

We have already been dumped by our boaters insurance because of this wind farm. Please consider other, less invasive alternatives that doesn't impact a huge community so immensely. There must be other places on land that could be considered. We need to protect our environmental treasures.

Thank you.

Monica Kicklighter
4 Mt Wachusett Ln
Bolton, MA 017402014

1057

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Molly_Macgregor@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:19 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Molly MacGregor
170 kelton #14
Allston, MA 021344380

1058

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: valleygreen19@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Kevin Smith
97-H Southpoint Drive
Amherst, MA 010023180

1059

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: RMCHAMBERS@COMCAST.NET
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 4:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

There are some potential drawbacks to this scheme such as the possible effects on migratory birds. Although I am hoping to see this project come to fruition I think at this stage bird safety should be considered and ways left open that could help in the event it becomes a major problem.

Robin Chambers
10 Temi Rd
Chelmsford, MA 018244751

1060

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Tercro@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:32 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Nancy Cronan
135 Rockland Street
South Dartmouth, MA 027482307

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: marty11@walla.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:33 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

beth ollett
198 college hill rd
clinton, NY 133231218

1062

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: donniephillips@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:37 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

donald phillips

oak hill rd

harvard, MA 01451

1063

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jackare@qnci.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:40 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

john heasley
96 colonial dr
sturbridge, MA 015662304

Adams, Karen K NAE

1064

From: dcrossley@rcn.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Building this project is a positive step in the right direction - I urge you to act swiftly to approve this project.

Deborah Crossley
26 Circuit Avenue
Newton, MA 024611603

Adams, Karen K NAE

1065

From: gmorgs@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I would just like to say that I personally think the Cape Wind Project is a great idea and totally support it. We as a nation need to utilize alternative energy sources, and wind power has been proven to be very effective. I live in Scituate, MA, and there is a wind power turbine in Hull, MA, a nearby town, that has been very successful for the town.

Thank you in advance.
Gwen Morgan
175 Judge Cushing Rd
Scituate, MA 020664456

Adams, Karen K NAE

1066

From: budncath@bcn.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Catherine & Robert Rodgers
21 Main Rd
Great Barrington, MA 012301689

Adams, Karen K NAE

1067

From: krweinstein@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

karen weinstein
29 palomino rd
salem, NH 030793434

1068

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rosabak@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Rosalba Ciampi
737 W Falmouth Hwy
Falmouth, MA 025402119

1069

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lduguay@foxboro.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:29 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Lawrence Duguay
3 Adams St.
Foxyboro, MA 020352201

1070

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: dwilson@rc.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:31 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Harnessing wind power beats burning fossil fuels everytime.
Dave Wilson
13 Lincoln Drive
Acton, MA 017203110

1071

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mdover@the-spa.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:32 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I support the Cape Wind Project as the premier alternative energy project on the East Coast. We must develop alternatives to dependence on fossil fuels if the planet is to survive. The oil spills in Buzzards Bay are regular reminders of the local environmental cost of that dependence. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. I urge you to expedite review and keep this project on track.

Michael Dover
120 Pulpit Hill Road #13
Amherst, MA 010024013

Adams, Karen K NAE

1072

From: carynk1@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:38 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

A few people express concern about the aesthetics of the turbines. I think they are actually rather attractive. They look a lot better than nuclear power plants and oil spills, that's for sure.

I get a good feeling when I have seen wind turbines in operation, knowing that they are part of an exciting energy option for the future.

Caryn Kauffman
90 Rossmore Rd
Jamaica Plain, MA 021303664

Adams, Karen K NAE

1073

From: ianstgermain@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:38 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. I personally frequent Nantucket, Martha's Vineyard, as well as the Cape. I feel that this project is excellent and will have only positive impact, and will not be a detriment to the view.

Ian St. Germain
34 Bournedale Rd
Jamaica Plain, MA 021304641

Adams, Karen K NAE

1074

From: merge03@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:39 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

As a tourist with tourist dollars, I'd be MORE inclined to visit the cape to see an alternative energy source project. The idea that such an offshore project is an "eye sore" is limited at best. I am an avid techno tourist, and I would travel to the cape to see it.

Mark Garti
49 Prospect Hill Road
Harvard, MA 014511303

Adams, Karen K NAE

1075

From: dks@mitre.org
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:57 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Renewable wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. The Cape Wind Project would lessen our dependence on fossil fuels and put us on a path to reducing global warming. It would be a model program for the entire country, and I support it strongly.
Thank you.

Dan Scholten
21 Patten Ln
Carlisle, MA 017411852

1076

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: albiescott@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:58 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I fully support the building of the Cape Wind Project. I have seen many wind projects in Northern Europe, and do not find them disturbing to the view. There are 4 large turbines within the urban area of Haarlem, Holland, and they make virtually no noise.

I also support a wind farm in Ipswich Bay.

Albert Scott
Newburyport, Mass.
albert scott
420 newburyport
newburyport, MA 01950

Adams, Karen K NAE

1077

From: tjsommer@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:59 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

James Sommer
106 New Estate Rd
Littleton, MA 014601100

Adams, Karen K NAE

1078

From: mjdamon@webtv.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:06 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

mark damon
54 Pleasant St
Westfield, MA 010853738

Adams, Karen K NAE

1079

From: sivadyduj1@mac.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 3:14 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Judy Davis
20 Sparks St.
Cambridge, VT 021384702

Adams, Karen K NAE

1080

From: trinityjpb@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:42 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Trinity Peacock-Broyles
65 Everett St.
Concord, MA 017423601

Adams, Karen K NAE

1081

From: lee.daum@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:50 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Leland Daum
35 George St.
Arlington, MA 024767202

Adams, Karen K NAE

10BZ

From: peru_boy@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Having read the executive summary it looks like a good project to me. Although there are some detrimental effects they seem small compared to the effect of alternatives such as extracting/transporting/burning fossil fuels.

Brian Hebeisen
170 Worcester St.
Watertown, MA 024721862

1083

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jeffberlin@clearchannel.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:56 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I support the Cape Wind Project. I own a home on Cape Cod, where reliable electricity delivery has historically more spotty and more expensive than on the mainland. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future, and I for one would like the project to go through.

Best regards,

Jeff Berlin
386 Lieutenant Island Road
S Wellfleet, MA 02176
Jeff Berlin
99 Revere Beach Parkway
Medford, MA 02155124

10B4

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lesliefonseca1018@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:58 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Leslie Fonseca
29 Lakeview Avenue
Haverhill, MA 018302713

Adams, Karen K NAE

1085

From: omniducati@excite.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:10 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

geoff mcdonald
200 west rd.
petersham, MA 013669610

1086

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rivinus@mail.hrca.harvard.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Only selfish land owners are against this leading edge project. I love the Sound, but am more than willing to share it toward the long term protection of America's energy needs.

Mark C. Rivinus
Dedham, MA
Mark Rivinus
16 Eleanor Street
Dedham, MA 020263036

Adams, Karen K NAE

1087

From: shewulf79@MSN.COM
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Shayla McKenna
20 Hopkins Dr
Bridgewater, MA 023243454

Adams, Karen K NAE

1088

From: erinconnors6@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:23 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I am a strong advocate of this source of renewable energy and think it is worth sacrificing some natural beauty to preserve our precious Earth.

Erin Connors
48 Middle St
Newburyport, MA 019502717

Adams, Karen K NAE

10B9

From: jschell@sea.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. The analysis put forth by the Army Corp highlights numerous benefits to the area with little long-term detriments. Most arguments against Cape Wind are based on nostalgia and superficial aesthetics. Personally every time I would look upon the WTGs I would think "what a great renewable resource, think of the benefits to the environment".

Jeffrey Schell
poBox 853
falmouth, MA 025410853

Adams, Karen K NAE

1090

From: jdeloca@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 2:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I wholeheartedly support wind power as an alternative power source and endorse the Cape Wind Project. I think the wind towers are very graceful--certainly much more so than ugly cell phone towers which are blighting the horizons.

Joyce DeLoca
21 Hearthstone Drive
Medfield, MA 020522114

From: wstanwood@fsc.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:07 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

As a conservation and energy efficiency activist for more than twenty years, I have worked to support reasonable and well designed alternatives to the fossil fuel sources our economy is so dependent on now. We need to diversify and we need to develop more independence and decentralized sources. This project meets local, regional, and national objectives, and deserves to go forward.

William Stanwood
1130 West Road
Ashby, MA 014311747

Adams, Karen K NAE

1092

From: cnsyock@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

carolyn stock
7 Everett Street
Maynard, MA 017541129

Adams, Karen K NAE

1093

From: jim@bigego.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I have heard that the wind farm would provide most of the electricity for the Cape - that is just too big an advantage over the fossil fuel alternative to ignore. We can afford some windmills on the horizon - which not everyone believes are an eye sore in exchange for pollution free, imported fuel free, and nuclear waste free energy. What's not to like?

Jim Infantino
5 vinal street #1
Brighton, MA 021357635

Adams, Karen K NAE

1094

From: reynardine612@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:16 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Besides the fact that having a renewable energy source is far more important than aesthetics.

Kate Korolenko
565 Sanford Rd
Westport, MA 02790-3748

1095

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: fesprit@wellesley.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:20 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

The effects of global warming on people, the environment, animals, and the economy are clear. We need to do ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Felice Espiritu
106 Central Street
Wellesley, MA 024818268

Adams, Karen K NAE

1096

From: jayeld66@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:23 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jason Eldridge
3054 Hickory Court Grove
Fairfax, VA 22031

Adams, Karen K NAE

1097

From: cab02149@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

A grotesque idea. At first fart, declare bankruptsy and we are left with 130 rusting, rotting bird's nests. The scene is something out of a Fellini movie.

Nuclear is the way to go. Sorry. Make a committment to it and go to work on researching and continuously improving it. Why give the French and Japanes that technical benefit.

I'll guarantee they will find much better ways to have nuclear while we sit around with our fingers in our noses.

Don't you think other human beings on this planet care as much about the environment as you? Or are as smart?

Anyone who doesn't think so has their head up where the sun don't shine.

Natural beauty counts for something very profound and human too you know.

Leave the sound alone.

Charles A. Boy Jr.

41 Winthrop St.

Everett, MA 021492630

Adams, Karen K NAE

1090

From: mwhelan1@partners.org
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:31 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Maryhelen Whelan
23 Ferrin St
Chas'n, MA 021293111

Adams, Karen K NAE

1099

From: mullin@physics.umass.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 1:38 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

In my opinion the coming shortage of oil, the increasing global warming mean the wind power MUST be developed. Complaints about seashore views being degraded pale in comparison with these needs.

William Mullin
10 Forestedge Rd
Amherst, MA 010021534

Adams, Karen K NAE

1/00

From: c_look@coloradocollege.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:47 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Carissa Look
8 Cottonwood Ln
Edgartown, MA 025396901

1101

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sophia13@optonline.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:48 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

sophia oakes/gergely
66 butter hill rd.
pelham, MA 010029737

From: countdoogu@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:49 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I believe that it is important for many issues like energy independence, clean air, and even health care. The increasing asthma epidemic is starting to show evidence of being tied to poor air quality. The air quality at the Cape is known to be some of the worst.

I also believe this decision shouldn't be limited to the residents that live on Nantucket. I think Massachusetts as a whole should have a say in the energy decisions that are made. While wind turbines are not as pretty as people would like, they are much better than the alternative. We all have to make some sacrifices to make this a better world to live in.

It's a start and I'm all for it.
Brian Duguay
13 Morse St
Norwood, MA 020624318

Adams, Karen K NAE

1103

From: petergray@mac.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:50 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Peter Gray
155 W Concord St
Boston, MA 021181507

Adams, Karen K NAE

1104

From: HOTE@centurytel.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Demelza Costa
28626 Ridgeway Rd.
Sweet Home, OR 973869523

From: jlatcheson@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:59 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I believe that the project would be of great importance in the necessary move towards renewable energy sources.

Jessica Atcheson

PO Box 434/South Main St.

Lanesboro, MA 012370434

From: tvcl5ma@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Stephen Donnelly
6 Pinebrook Drive
Easthampton, MA 010279723

Adams, Karen K NAE

1107

From: mdavidson009@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Matt Davidson
478 Hemenway St
Marlborough, MA 017521702

From: gingerwatts@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:31 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Ginger Watts
132 Stow Rd
Harvard, MA 014511828

Adams, Karen K NAE

1109

From: meInmark@earthlink.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:37 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

We are way behind other countries in this field; the Cape Wind Project will help us begin to catch up. I fully support the project.

Mark Hickernell
63 Washington Ave
Waltham, MA 024535039

Adams, Karen K NAE

111b

From: info@amysophia.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:39 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I live at a community that uses wind power and know how wonderful it is: non-polluting, renewable.

I am strongly in favor of Wind Farms in MA.
Amy Sophia Marashinsky
72 Baker Road
Shutesbury, MA 010729703



From: ptiffany21@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:44 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

peter tiffany
22 hillside ave
winchester, MA 018903040

Adams, Karen K NAE

1112 ✓

From: STAPLESJ@NORTELNETWORKS.COM
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:44 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Joseph Staples
361 Harvard Rd
Stow, MA 017751064

Adams, Karen K NAE

1113

From: dorieg@verizon.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:08 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Dorie Goldman
8 Hedgerow Lane
Amherst, MA 010021695

Adams, Karen K NAE

1114

From: jsefton@ecotarium.org
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

We need to make sure that the state has as many energy options available to us, currently we are overdependent on just a few sources that have questionable futures.

Janet Sefton
21 Redman Pl
Fitchburg, MA 014203634

Adams, Karen K NAE

1115

From: gusrabson@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:15 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Gus Rabson
17 Iris Way
Haverhill, MA 018301595

1116

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bwynroth@netscape.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:15 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

It is well beyond the time to delay on solutions for alternative energy. The problems caused by this delay are not only local and state, but world wide.

We must finally take seriously the solutions and act.

Thank you for taking this seriously and acting!

Barbara Wynroth
25 Cole's Grove Rd
Williamstown, MA 012672263

Adams, Karen K NAE

1117

From: boutureira@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Veronica Boutureira
78 Lovejoy Road
Andover, MA 018104529

Adams, Karen K NAE

1118

From: DIANE.HC@VERIZON.NET
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Diane Campanella
23 Alcott Rd
Concord, MA 017422603

Adams, Karen K NAE

1119

From: confedd@intergate.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:22 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
william galli
16 parker st.
n.adams, MA 01247-2443

1/20

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: energynurse@medscape.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Gail Cormier
94 Glenwood St,
Gardner, MA 014404126

1121

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: julia@the-haven.us
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

julia radford
32 prospect hill road
harvard, MA 014511301

1122

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: vze288gj@verizon.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:56 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Robert Thompson
2 Woody Glen Road
Harwich, MA 026451923

1123

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jenni@nortelnetworks.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:57 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I think the project is in the public's best interest. We must look at the impact to all of the Citizen's of the Commonwealth, not the very wealthy few. I am deeply disturbed by the reports of Senator Kennedy's family and other neighbors being against the Project due to their tarnished view. I feel, based on projected images of the Project, that the impact to the view would be minimal. The Queen of Denmark can see their Wind Farm from her bedroom window in the palace in Copenhagen. I have heard she views them as a marvel and a great display of their self-sufficiency. Denmark has seen increased tourism due to the Wind Farm. Are the residents of the Cape more persnickety than a Queen? Furthermore, Windmills work on torque, not speed. While it is possible that some birds may be injured or killed by the Project, I would surmise that those killed by the pollution generated by our current energy production methods reliant on fossil fuels and coal are in far greater numbers. We are all impacted by their polluting impacts far more than the impact to anyone's view.

Regarding the impact to shipping and fishing industries. The Shoals are outside shipping lanes. The blades, but design, must be far from the surface of the water, avoiding smaller vessels by design. Fishing can only be aided by additional "growth" opportunities. We currently sink old vessels for fishing and diving oppurtunites, why then would the Wind Farm be negative in this aspect. I have heard from anonymous fishermen that they predict it would be favorable for them.

I see the opponents being purely selfish. We must look to the good of all. Providing an opportunity for the Cape to gain much of it's needed power far outweighs, to me, the opponents tarnished views.

Thank you for your time,
Jennifer Krider
20 Harold Place
Tewksbury, MA 018765505

1124

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jd@uri.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:59 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jay Dimond
14 Lindsley Rd
West Falmouth, MA 02574

Adams, Karen K NAE

1125

From: creightonsbarrett@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:03 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I strongly urge the presiding parties to support and proceed with the Cape Wind Project, providing us with a good source of renewable energy as well as setting an example for the rest of the country and the world that says Massachusetts is proactive and leading the nation with progressive actions in the environment. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. I would ask that accounting procedures, costs, profits, etc., be made public and easily accessible.

Creighton Barrett
843 Franklin St.
Duxbury, MA 023323506

Adams, Karen K NAE

1126

From: mgreen@periodyssey.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 12:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Monica Green
151 Crescent St
Northampton, MA 010602114

1127

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: donna.lynch@att.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:43 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Donna Lynch
1 BETTY aVENUE
East Sandwich, MA 025371543

1128

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: perhansa@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:44 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

It is in the public and global interest to develop renewable energy solutions as rapidly as feasible. The use of wind power is one of the easiest to utilize we should be making large scale efforts to develop American capability in this regard the Cape Wind Project is a good first step.

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I heartily endorse this effort and hope that more projects of this nature will be developed in a timely fashion.

Pete Wilson
17A Mason Street
Hudson, MA 017492506

Adams, Karen K NAE

1129

From: drumpad@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:44 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Robert Doyle
28 Gilson Rd.
Scituate, MA 020664616

Adams, Karen K NAE

1130

From: agambhir@ecs.umass.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:46 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Ashwin Gambhir
1040 north pleasant street
amherst, MA 010021374

Adams, Karen K NAE

1131

From: persephonebrown@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:46 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

persephone brown
10 hancock st
bedford, MA 017301614

1132

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: greg@outofthewoodsconstruction.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:48 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Gregory Antonioli
46 Flint Rd
Acton, MA 017202838

1133

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: info@hi-beamentertainment.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:49 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Andrew Borg
352 Arlington Street
Acton, MA 017202247

Adams, Karen K NAE

1134

From: elissaebarrett@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:52 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Elissa Barrett
30 Cleveland St.
Arlington, MA 024746916

Adams, Karen K NAE

1135

From: c.casoli@massbbo.org
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:52 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

christine casoli
180 green st #403
melrose, MA 021761939

1136

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: wavnsun@adelphia.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:53 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Karen Houghton
3 Coachmans Lane
Sagamore Beach, MA 025622350

Adams, Karen K NAE

1137

From: JOEL@TIBINC.COM
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:55 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. A great deal of time and effort must have gone into that 4000 page EIS, and I sincerely hope that the project moves forward.

Joel Cohen
74 Robbins Dr
Carlisle, MA 017411720

1138

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bscola@bentley.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:43 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Betsy Scola
382 Exchange St.
Millis, MA 020541112

Adams, Karen K NAE

1139

From: tofusucker@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:36 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Kerry Denvir
32 Worcester Sq Apt 7
Boston, MA 021182924

1140

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bpierce@intdata.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:38 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Thank you for your consideration of the public interest.

Robert Pierce
88 New Estate Road
Littleton, MA 014601108

1141

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: steveg@peta.org
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:38 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Mother Earth, all living beings and each individual benefits from renewal energy. We must make our lives consistent with being good stewards of our planet, and renewal energy is the way to go.

Steve Gross
374 Old Montague Road
Amherst, MA 010021085

Adams, Karen K NAE

1142

From: amrvica@fsc.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:41 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

ann mrvica
23 thayer pond dr
n oxford, MA 015371152

1143

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: aecorbett@usa.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:41 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Ann Corbett
86 Morrison Avenue
Somerville, MA 021442322

1144

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bkolek@solzon.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:42 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Brian Kolek
143 Main Street
Westford, MA 018862045

1145

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: adele.volta@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:42 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Adele Volta
27 Carter Street
Newburyport, MA 019502224

1146

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: REBROUGHTON@RCN.COM
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:42 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

This project makes total and absolute sense.

Please take steps to ensure this move to using natural resources to keep us energy proficient and clean!

Ruth Broughton
10 Murray Park Road
Littleton, MA 01460-1505

1147

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tbwitholt@wustl.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:29 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Thomas Witholt
117 Shaker Road
Longmeadow, MA 011062410

1148

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: doreengiard@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:31 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Massachusetts and the country need to be less dependent on non-renewable energy resources. Wind power is a viable option that should be given a chance.

Doreen Giard
144 Rocky Road
Whitinsville, MA 015881392

1149

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: blbriggs@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:31 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Belinda Briggs
19 Brielle Rd
N Grafton, MA 015361166

1150

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: aevans@wellesley.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:35 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Adding wind power as one of the sources of power for our country will decrease our dependence on foreign oil and be more environmentally friendly. Please approve the construction of the Cape Wind Farm.

Angelika Evans
365 Dover Road
Westwood, MA 020902601

Adams, Karen K NAE

1151

From: goijgoidjoisdj@aojosdijsdoi.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:35 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I sometimes wish I could "SEE" a public access show on it or an aerial view to show how little or big 130 turbines are in the sound...However...

WIND POWER is for the nation. As Massachusetts goes so goes the nation.

LEADERSHIP we are telling the world we're putting our money where our mouths are...we're not getting smaller energy "mouths" but we're feeding responsibly...Not "stealing" to feed our energy needs.

NIMBY - pollution covers are entire planet. The POOR and unsound get in their neighborhoods the trash trash incinerators, by-products of nuclear generation or threat of meltdown and terrorism.

COAL & OIL generation is in other communities. I think its better to put windpower out in sound rather than a oil platform, or oil or coal firing plant.

The RICH Will get pretty windmills...a tourist attraction with no negative outputs..relative to other power makers.

I CAN'T SEE IT FROM HERE

If we shut a few more lights off maybe we wouldn't need this much power. Industry uses 3/4 of the electricity. What are they making for us fast, in a globally competitive market??? Watch what you buy...

Sean Defudis
32 Hope Ave
Worcester, MA 016032211

1152

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kcolon@pubpol.umass.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:36 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Kathy Colon
220 Long Plain Rd
South Deerfield, MA 013739640

1153

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: alextee@mac.com
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:37 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Alex Tsouvalas
51 Church St
Woburn, MA 018013036

1154

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: benno@bcn.net
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:37 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Benno Friedman
120 Kellogg Rd
Sheffield, MA 012579738

1155

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mgorse@alum.wpi.edu
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2004 11:36 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

With an increasing world population and increasing demand for oil from countries like China, oil will inevitably become a scarce resource, so it is imperative that we do everything we can to reduce our dependence on it. Cape wind would further this goal and would, in my view, have benefits that would far outweigh the costs. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Michael Gorse
386 River ST
Waltham, MA 024535480

1156

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: McLane, Robert Jr [RAMd@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 5:00 PM

To: Energy, Wind

Subject: wind farm

Army Corps,

I'm a licensed civil engineer working in the hydro power industry in California.

I was born on Martha's Vineyard in 1953 and graduated from the MV high school in 1971.

It breaks my heart to think of the amazing views that this project will ruin.

Please move them out of sight or don't build them.

Thanks,

Robert McLane

1157

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Dan Reardon (and Bunny) [daniel.reardon@verizon.net]
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 5:02 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind proposal

I am writing to express support for the windmills off shore to provide clean energy. I have read a lot on the subject and know that many have reservations about the project. , but as a member of Union for Concerned Scientists , I would like to find all ways possible to relieve our need for oil and gas. Wind power is one of those ways. I hope conservation will also be encouraged on a national level .

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Reardon
56 Harwood road
East Greenwich, RI 02818

12/13/2004

1150

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Ludera@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:24 PM
To: wind.energy@usace.army.mil.
Subject: windpower

We agree with CapeWind and applaud their work for using the environment for electrical power.
Lets go and approve this project now.
Marylu and Walter Raushenbush, 65 Judge's Way, Chatham, MA 02633 summer home. Winter:
1730 Avenida del Mundo #908, Coronado, CA 92118.

12/13/2004

1159

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Annette Brodie [pbrodie@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 5:34 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Cape Wind Farm

To Karen Adams , Project Manager:

The projected Cape Wind Energy Project in Nantucket Sound is an important beginning step towards America's decrease in fossil fuel dependency. Harnessing the energy from wind is well tested, economically desirable and pollution-free.

As summer residents of Nantucket, we travel through Nantucket Sound weekly. We would welcome the sight of wind generating turbines. Their existence means less costly electricity, less reliance on OPEC, and less air pollutants. We also find their shape and rotation to be both soothing and mesmerizing. We know fishermen who believe the concrete structures will attract fish in great numbers.

In summary , we favor any and all attempts to reduce our dependancy on oil. Wind farms provide an exciting alternative energy source and should be installed wherever feasible. The offshore site in Nantucket Sound is ideal due to the predictable amount of wind and shallow water. There is no cogent argument against this site that outweighs the extensive list of benefits.

The Brodie Family- Peter, Annette, Erica, and Tyler
287 Dedham Street
Dover, MA 02030

12/13/2004

1160

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: RUSSELL CHENOWETH JR [chenoweth01@msn.com]

Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 8:54 AM

To: Energy, Wind NAE

Subject: Cape Wind

We're Cape Cod residents who have followed this project from the beginning and strongly support Cape Wind. The Environmental Impact Study seems to have been done thoroughly. The technology is widely used in Europe. Our energy problems are getting worse, and it's time we took a step in the right direction.

We'd prefer not to see a wind farm six miles off Campground Beach in Eastham, but we'd accept it as a reasonable cost for making this kind of progress. We're sympathetic to those who have principled objections, but we feel they've been answered. Sometimes, in the interest of a greater good, we have to give a little.

Sincerely,

Russ Chenoweth
Nancy Chenoweth

Box 807
Eastham, Massachusetts 02642

12/13/2004

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: gils4 [gils4@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 6:45 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: DEIS Comment - FOR Cape Wind project

Dear Karen Kirk-Adams,

The long-term environmental benefits of the Cape Wind project in Massachusetts vastly outweighs the concerns of those rich enough to own yachts that sail in the area (and have thousands of other square miles in which to sail), and vastly outweighs any visual impact of seeing 1/2" toothpicks in the distance to those wealthy enough to own coastal property. It is hard to think of less negative environmental impact, especially compared with burning fossil fuels for electric power and the inherent danger of giant LNG tanks in populated areas. I absolutely support the Cape Wind project.

Robert W. Gilstein
62 Tucker Lane
Dartmouth, MA 02747

1162

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Kountz, Ed [EKountz@TowerGroup.com]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 3:10 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Comments on Cape Wind Associates' plan

Sirs--

I am writing in regard to Cape Wind Associates' plan for the introduction of wind turbines into Nantucket Sound. As a resident of Massachusetts, I understand the impact of high energy prices, the risk of environmental degradation, and the need to make decisions to offset each of these effectively, while reducing our dependence on non-renewable energy sources. As a result, and given the opportunity to generate a significant portion of the Cape's electric needs with little to no emissions, I believe it is essential to move forward with a project that can help to prove the viability of wind-generated power, while helping Southern New England reduce its reliance on coal, oil and natural gas-powered plants.

Cape Wind should be required to mitigate, to the degree possible, the impact of their turbines on the natural beauty of this region, and any future permissions that are granted as part of this process should insist on adequate attempts at visual mitigation. But the opportunity to take a leading role in diversifying our energy sources without additional pollution or significant environmental degradation, while at the same time generating a significant number of new jobs for the region, must take precedence in this situation. I urge you to move ahead with the Cape Wind project.

With best regards--

Ed Kountz
41 Fort Ave.
Roxbury MA 02119
Tel 617 216 5699

12/13/2004

1163

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: holly rogers [holly1655@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 8:05 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE; admin@saveoursound.org
Subject: Comments on Cape Wind DEIS

Dear Ms. Adams,

I would like at this time to express my own opinion regarding the proposed Wind Farm. As an avid kayaker and general all around outdoors person I want you to know why I am against the Wind Farm.

First and foremost, Nantucket Sound belongs to all of us- it should not be sold to the highest bidder for personal gain.

This is not a case of NIMBY- I grew up here , I intend to stay here, I love the Cape and all it offers.

I don't believe there is enough evidence to support the efficiency and durability of the turbines. I don't feel there has been enough research about the long term effects on this beautiful resource. Do we really know what damage will be done - by the construction, by the disturbance of the sea, and until we know, because we only get one chance, we cannot treat this lightly.

I ask you to help us in finding alternative forms of power, where we will all benefit, with out destroying our natural resources.

I believe in alternate energy sources, lets look into conservation and other more feasible options.

Thank-you for your time
Holly Rogers
1655 Main St.
West Barnstable, MA. 02668

12/13/2004

From: Doug McCorkle [dmccorkl@sover.net]
Sent: Sunday, December 05, 2004 10:20 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Comments on Cape Wind Project

Thank you for allowing me to submit comments on this wind energy project.
There are a number of points:

- We desperately need to decrease our dependence on oil, as the ongoing crisis in the Middle East (including Afghanistan and Iraq) illustrate. Besides the environmental damage, it is costing the US taxpayers billions of dollars every year (recently, every month) to maintain our connections with the oil there.

- Many people claim that windmills are aesthetically displeasing. Many, if not most, of these same people have never actually seen wind mills in action. I have had a small wind generator on my previous home, and have seen the Searsmont, VT windmills on numerous occasions as I drive through the area. I find them to be aesthetically beautiful, and a proud demonstration of our ability to harness our own energy in a way that has minimal impact.

- I believe the bird mortality figures are exaggerated. Many, many, many, many, many more birds are killed or injured by motor vehicles, from hitting homes, and hitting other stationary objects. Perhaps we should outlaw feeding birds and driving more than 10 miles per hour, in order to bring the bird mortality closer in line with that resulting from wind mills.

- We would be creating jobs and infusing our money back into our own economy when this project goes forward, instead of sending it out of the country.

- It appears that the primary factor against this project is the vocal opposition of those whose views of the ocean would be affected. We all have to sacrifice in many ways for many reasons. This seems to me to be a particularly beneficial sacrifice for people to make, although I'm sure they would be happier if the likely alternative happened - a coal-fired generating station being built in a poor neighborhood.

In summary, I am strongly in favor of this project. I believe the benefits far out way the minor negatives, and that some of the perceived negatives are, in fact, opinions which are not held by many people.

Thank you very much for allowing my comments.

Sincerely,

Doug McCorkle
247 Canoe Brook Rd.
E. Dummerston, VT 05346

1165

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: DKN1781@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 1:56 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: concerning wind farm on cape cod..

i think we should definately persue this we need all the help we can get regarding energy cost, we certainly do not need to buy more arab oil and let them hold us hostage, we must persue ways to be dependant on ourselves, all of our electricity is made from coal-oil -and fuels that contaminate our society, we must change our way's and this can be the first step, sure some people will take a (not in my backyard attitude) but we must move forward some times change is our best friend and helper... please continue the fight for cape wind i know we will be succesful in this endeavor. cape wind is our first step in the right direction, it is a baby step but at least we are moving forward to a better place and time... i think cape wind is a great opportunity for everyone in massachusetts. we need cape wind asap to make massachusetts less dependable on big oil and our foreign terrorist we all know who they are...

sincerely, Karl F, Buswell
3 Lynnfield st
Peabody, Ma. 01960...

12/13/2004

1166

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: VSheehan@hhcc.com
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2004 4:10 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: do not sell out the sea

I want to voice my opposition to the windfarm. For all of the reasons already spoken of in the newspapers and at the public forums. (Eye pollution, hazards to mariners and wildlife, etc)

I would like to bring up another consideration no one has spoken of, at least as far as I know.

Why would we even consider giving a person the right to start a business from which he and his investors will profit handsomely? On public space? If we allow them to start their business on our seas, what is to stop anyone from starting other types of businesses?

Let's see, we could erect billboards, we could have floating restaurants, casinos, maybe someone will want to have a windsurfing business that is out floating in our seas, that way they would be closer and more convenient to their customers. Maybe I would want to have my studio out there floating so I can paint the sea from a different angle.

Ridiculous? I don't think so. Just wait till the lawyers get hold of it.

Say no to the windfarm, do not sell out the sea.

Valerie Sheehan

1167

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ouellette@sfs.upenn.edu
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2004 4:16 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Donna Ouellette
2 Irving Lane
Wallingford, Pennsylvania 19086

Adams, Karen K NAE

1168

From: Wiccakitty@surfbest.net
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2004 3:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

L Waldron
6929 W. University Av. 3G
Gainesville, Florida 32607

1169

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Peter Brown [peter.brown8@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 5:55 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Karen Kirk Adams or anyone for comments

Hi,
I used to live on Nantucket, now I live on the Cape.

I am all for these wind farms. Wind is a great renewable energy resource that we should tap into.

Any sailor or boatsman should be able to negotiate around these turbines.

Pam
118 Polaris Drive
Mashpee, MA

Adams, Karen K NAE

1170

From: Isobel Sturgeon [isobelk@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 5:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Cape Wind Report

To: Karen Adams, Project Manager
Regulatory Division

Dear Ms. Adams,

I have read in the newspapers and on line about the Army Corp of Engineers' report on the proposed Cape Wind farm. I am concerned that the benefits of the wind farm do not outweigh the detriments to the community of Nantucket Sound. Is it possible to get a copy of this report? I understand that it is 4000 pages long. Therefore, perhaps I can go somewhere on line to read it (it is too large for me to download). Otherwise, perhaps you could mail it to me? Please let me know.


Meanwhile, I hope that you understand that you must allow at least 180 days for the public to read and understand this document.

Furthermore, I will be writing to my congressman, Clay Shaw, of Florida, to let him know that I currently oppose the proposed wind farm and that I am amazed that taxpayer dollars were spent on a 4000 page report.

Sincerely,

Isobel Sturgeon

42.3° North Latitude / 71° West Longitude



1171

FLAGSHIP WHARF #506 • 197 Eighth Street • BOSTON NAVY YARD, MA 02129

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742


November 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

There are also many aspects excluded such as the Security Risks of an unregulated coastline in the absence of Coastal Zoning---such as recommended in Senator Warner's amendment to the recent defense bill. These concerns must be reviewed by the Department of Homeland Security.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,


RECEIVED
NOV 10 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

1172

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

November 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Melissa J. Godfrey", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

RECEIVED
NOV 10 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

1173

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742


November 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



RECEIVED
NOV 12 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

1174

Christine Godfrey
Chief, Regulatory Division
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
N.E. District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

November 2004

Dear Ms. Godfrey,

Please immediately extend the public comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Cape Wind project to 180 days. Any shorter time period is entirely insufficient to allow the public ample opportunity to provide input on such a lengthy and important document on a complex and controversial project.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alan Garcia".

RECEIVED
NOV 10 2004
REGULATORY DIVISION

1175

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: FlowerChild38812@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 2:58 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Cape Wind Project

I am completely in favor of this project. I'm a 21-year-old Environmental Studies student born and bred in Mass, and I think this project will do the Bay State loads of good. I wish this type of project could be implemented in many more areas.

1176

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tim@mc2jewels.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 1:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. We in Berkshire county are considering adding wind power and have a strong interest in these findings so that we can make prompt and informed decisions.

Tim McClelland
130 Green River Rd.
Alford, MA 012308922

1177

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: david-pol@bigblueseas.org
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 1:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

David McGaffin
28 Ridgewood Rd.
Concord, MA 017423913

1170

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: spencercs@juno.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 1:57 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Spencer Sleeper
479 Huntington Avenue
Hyde Park, MA 021363104

1179

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: claudia772@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 2:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I am a mid-cape property owner who has been encouraged by the results of the 3-year study. No means of procuring energy is without some downside, but it appears to me that the reasonable degree of negative environmental impact from the proposed wind farm warrants proceeding aheading.

Claudia Vestal
Orleans

P.S. This is a repost of the previous message with incorrect state (should have been MA)
Claudia Vestal
152 Still River Road
Harvard, MA 014511501

1180

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cthaw@conncoll.edu
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 2:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Renewable energy sources must be explored and promoted. The general public can be convinced of the merits of renewable energy easily- just look at the popularity of the Prius. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. The benefits of the project should be provided and explained to the public so that the choice is clear: wind power benefits the future of Massachusetts residents.

Courtney Hawkes
99 Bellevue Street
Newton, MA 024581920

1181

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: batyapea@rcn.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 2:30 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

BETH EPSTEIN
77 HOCKANUM RD
HADLEY, MA 010359722

Adams, Karen K NAE

11B2

From: spayne@english.umass.edu
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 2:42 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Shannon Payne
160 Triangle St
Amherst, MA 010022146

Adams, Karen K NAE

1183

From: cbvarsano@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 3:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Carol Varsano
29 Stoddard St.
Northampton, MA 010602228

Adams, Karen K NAE

11B4

From: lesceirer@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 3:19 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

1185

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lesliejc@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 3:37 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Leslie Chappell
14 Campbell Rd
Wayland, MA 017781002

Adams, Karen K NAE

11B6

From: albertyoung02148@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 3:51 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Albert Young
8 Briar Hill Rd
Sharon, MA 020672754

Adams, Karen K NAE

1187

From: cjfriar@letterboxes.org
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 3:55 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. I grew up in Massachusetts. I still live there during the winter months, and my family lives there year-round. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Wind power is the safest way to go, especially when compared to the liquid natural gas plant and pipelines that are going in less than five miles from my family's homes. There is a lot at stake here, and I wish your review team good luck and godspeed in discerning the truth.

Christopher Friar
P. O. Box 381
Winnemucca, NV 894460381

1188

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: citizenmrl-pirg@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 4:35 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

The need to capture energy from the sun, including wind energy, is a looming fact of life. Nothing will happen in the next 100 years or so, if ever, to make alternative energy less important. Therefore I believe the long term outlook is that there will be wind generators and solar panels dotting our landscape. In my view the Cape Wind Project, like ANWR oil drilling, is not a matter of "if", but a matter of "when".

I have seen land-based wind farms and I think they are aesthetically pleasing, modern versions of Dutch windmills. I have yet to see a sea-based wind farm, but in general I think they should fit in with lighthouses and buoys fairly well, although I realize the size scale is much larger.

We all have to do our part to ensure a prosperous future. Massachusetts residents should embrace the Cape Windfarm Project and strive to build more wind farms. It is the landscape of the future. This project will no doubt enhance Cape tourism and become a symbol of the Cape much like the Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge is of Boston.

I have reviewed the draft EIS for the project and would like to offer my encouragement as a citizen that the project should proceed.

Michael LaBonte
150 Millvale Road
Haverhill, MA 018304300

1189

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Devon_Hildreth@remoultoninc.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 4:57 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Devon Hildreth
16 Manataug Trail
Marblehead, MA 019451310

1190

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: eschoepke@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 5:06 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Elizabeth Schoepke
54 Nourse Rd
Bolton, MA 017401088

Adams, Karen K NAE

1191

From: p_j_r_@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Cape Wind Associates is proposing to build a wind farm, comprised of 130 energy-generating wind turbines in Nantucket Sound. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that was issued on November 9th shows excellent benefits and few costs for the proposed Cape Wind project.

We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Thank you.

Peter Reynolds
287 Harvard Street
Cambridge, MA 021392383

1192

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jimspaulding@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:40 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Ruth Spaulding
10 Congress St., apt. 310
Greenfield, MA 013013530

1193

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mfaber5@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:00 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Michael Faber
6 Julius Hall Road
Blandford, MA 01008

1194

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tuckzen@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 1:10 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

In this time of war, we must consider every weapon possible. Though I don't want to support George Bush in any way, this war must be fought and ended. By economically withdrawing from the upcoming oil "wars" we can elivate ourselves from the hold that the Middle East has on us. It is imperative to become self sustainable in the energy market. This means natural ways to create hydrogen must be explored. Wind turbines could be that step. I will do anything in my power to see it happen. Please allow our world to become a cleaner, more sensible place. Oil is the black tar heroin of the energy world. Let us switch to the world's adrenaline, wind.

Tucker Zenski
tucker zenski
23 school st apt 2
gloucester, MA 019303501

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: liluluna1720@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 1:17 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. I grew up in Buzzards bay, and the importance of this project became so much more in the oil spill just a few years ago. our need for renewable energy is great. having our population nearly triple every summer makes this detrimental for the future of the south shore. i love my home I want it to last. the beauty of nantucket harbor has come into question several times in this debate. with the cape wind project we can have a better chance of preserving it's purity. maybe what happened to buzzards bay will not have to happen to any other of our precious wildlife and beaches. we need to care more for preserving theses environments. i look to the sea for solace hope strength and comfort. lets preserve that trust. with clean safe energy

Deirdre Gorrie
360 Riverway Apt.6
Boston, MA 021156428

1196

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: gau_bus@charter.net
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 5:37 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I hope NIMBY's do not prevail.

John Gau
10 Allison Circle
Worcester, MA 016061183

1197

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cavalljc@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 7:31 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
J. CAvallaro

Wareham, MA 02571

1190

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cav34@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 7:32 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
John Cavallaro

Hudson, MA

Adams, Karen K NAE

1199

From: pne@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 8:10 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

The promptness is important. The upside potential of this development is so great that there is already strong evidence it should be beneficial.

This writer has no objection to the visual impact of the project as it has been described.

Patrick Everett
15 Stow Street
Concord, MA 017422416

1200

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jmewhine@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 7:22 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

joe Mewhiney
2 meadow lane
N.Grafton, MA 015361108

Adams, Karen K NAE

1201

From: juddes@wildmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:50 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

judy desreuisseau
2 myrtle street
Gill, MA 013769615

1202

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mbradyx@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 9:33 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Michael Brady
14 Russell St. Apt. 34
Quincy, MA 021711639

1203

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bbrydges@c4.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:57 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Please help bring wind power to Cape Cod. After the favorable review of the Environmental Impact Statement, I would like to see this clean source of energy move forward. Thank you.

Bonnie Brydges
19 Crowell Road
Harwich Port, MA 026462701

1204

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: exodus733@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 9:54 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

The draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that was issued on November 9th shows excellent benefits and few costs for the proposed Cape Wind project. While there are important details to resolve, we are confident that the review is thorough and should move forward. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Anita Miranda
50 Cherry St
Somerville, MA 021443217

1205

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kw72bh@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:33 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

kevin wall

13 stanley st

easthampton, MA 010279701

1206

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bluesapphire48@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 12:10 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. We MUST reduce our dependence on foreign oil. This is a step in the right direction.

Romi Elnagar
1447 Bullrush Dr.
Baton Rouge, LA 708105223

Adams, Karen K NAE

1207

From: steviebar@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 7:32 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
Steve Barbagallo

Fitchburg, MA

Adams, Karen K NAE

1208

From: mcqblais@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 8:21 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Adams, Karen K NAE

1209

From: donandlaurataylor@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 12:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

John Taylor
20 Parsons Lane
Little Compton, RI 028371135

12/0

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bess123@ecoisp.net
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 12:50 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Alternative sources of electricity must be investigated. Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

This project is important for present and future generations and the health of the earth.
Elizabeth Dillman
17 Brookside Glen
Huntington, MA 010509635

1211

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ha.yom@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2004 1:11 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Iris Jacobs
16 Harold St
Sharon, MA 020672519

1212

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: m_judah@usa.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:47 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.
M. Judah

Adams, Karen K NAE

1213

From: DeceptionChic@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:55 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Margaret Adams
64 Lucerne Drive
Andover, MA 018101736

1214

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: universal_i@msn.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:58 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Adam Bergeron
36 Charles Street
Orange, MA 013641526

1215

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: trudy.vermehren@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:15 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

I strongly feel the benefits of independence from fossil fuels is tantamount to the argument by some that tourism would be affected, that birds would die and that there is an environmental threat to the waters off Cape Cod.

To the first issue, tourism, I think immediately of the wind farms near Palm Springs. When I first encountered them, I felt a sense of awe. It was truly an inspiration of hope of a future with renewable energy sources. I think an entirely new type of tourism could emerge from this. Tourists would want to see the project.

The the issue of birds being killed by the turbines, I say this is an absurd controversy. We are at war right now, soldiers and civilians are dying every day, in a battle which involves control of an oil-rich country. Human lives hang in the balance of our dependency on fossil fuel. We need to look to renewable wind energy as a viable alternative.

Lastly, the issue of late, the threat of an oil spill. I think about this: tankers move oil each and every day across thousands of miles of shipping lanes. We do have oil disasters, but do we stop shipping the oil we depend upon? I think the risks involved in the Cape Wind Project are managable. There are already dozens of environmental groups on board with this effort. It will be monitored and therefor the risks will be even lower.

Some opponents might counter my comments with the opinion that if the wind project were off the coast of my area, I might feel differently. I say they are wrong. I have thought a great deal about this and would welcome it to our waters if it were more feasible to locate it here.

I don't want to destroy the Cape. I want it to continue to thrive. I live here, work here, and play here. But we also live in a very dire period of history as overconsumers of fossil fuels. We must change this by looking to non-polluting, renewable sources of energy. We can not afford to look away.

Thank you for listening,
Trudy Vermehren

Trudy Vermehren
80 Briar Lane
Wellfleet, MA 026677210

1216

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: judy.north@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:21 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Judy North
PO Box 1817
Brewster, MA 026317817

1217

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: amber_fairbanks@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:25 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Amber Fairbanks
66 Liberty Street
Acton, MA 017203544

1218

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kgigstad@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:29 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Ken Gigstad
18 Briarwood Drive
Westford, MA 018861165

Adams, Karen K NAE

1219

From: alicianquinlan@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:35 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I support the Cape Wind Project based on the data I have seen so far.

I have no connection of any sort with the developers of this project.

I have owned a house and land in Falmouth since 1977.

I am a Professional Engineer in MA and NC. I earned a B.S. in Life Sciences and a Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering from MIT. I also earned an M.S. degree in Marine Sciences from the University of Alaska.

I have been a professor of mechanical engineering at both MIT and Duke University. At MIT, I also held a Doherty Professorship in Ocean Utilization. At Duke, I also held professorships in civil engineering and in biochemical engineering. I have published several research publications in the fields of ecological modeling and biochemical engineering.

I have studied electrical power generation by wind turbines and seen operational "wind farms" in California and in Denmark. I have worked on a 10 KW Bergey wind turbine installed at an ecological field station on Cape Lookout National Seashore.

I believe the Cape Wind Farm is far more likely than not to be a major benefit to the lives and economy of Massachusetts. It will not be the eyesore and financial catastrophe that opponents predict. It will not despoil the aesthetics and ecological habitats of Cape Cod and the Islands and their surrounding waters. Rather, if properly designed and maintained, it will provide an environmentally friendly energy resource for today's population and a valuable legacy for our children and grandchildren. Fossil and nuclear fuels cannot do this.

Alician Quinlan, Ph.D., P.E., J.D.
4 Hummingbird Hill Rd
Falmouth, MA 025404339

1220

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jschuster@ewingcontrols.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:35 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

"Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront."

To whom it may concern:

I'd like to see Massachusetts get more involved with wind power where it's feasible. In the long run I don't see how we can reduce our oil dependency if we are not willing to face the engineering challenges that alternative sources present. I hope the Corp of Engineers can take the lead in identifying & solving some of these challenges.

Thank you,

John Schuster
John Schuster
PO Box 21
Northfield, MA 013600021

1221

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: josh@mahoney.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 10:38 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Joshua Mahoney
22 Essex St.
Haverhill, MA 018325600

Adams, Karen K NAE

1222

From: straussdesigns@rcn.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:21 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

We all know the upside of wind power--clean, low ongoing cost, non-depleting of the Earth's resources. The decision will turn on whether the downsiders can convince you that their special interests deserve to defeat our energy future.

I'm not unmindful of the environmental impact on migratory birds, but experience has shown this can be dealt with by establishing appropriate conditions.

The concern that I believe to be entirely bogus is the "esthetic" one. Far from intruding on the pristine seascape, the wind farm will be an environmentally correct novelty that will enrich the view and immediately be captured in postcards and peoples' hearts. Don't let a decision of this significance be based on such a subjective standard.

David Strauss
14 Grayson Lane
Newton, MA 024621009

Adams, Karen K NAE

1223

From: cwilliams1226@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:57 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Cheryl Williams
333. Mass . Ave #202
Boston, MA 02115

1224

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jdecaria@abcisp.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:59 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Joseph De Caria
278 Walton Street
Fitchburg, MA 014205340

1225

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: woodschristine@msn.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:58 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Presentations that would simulate shoreline views of the installation may help to reduce aesthetic concerns, as would demonstrations of noise levels to be expected at these same locations.

Christine Woods
61 Wild Grove Ln
Longmeadow, MA 011062149

Adams, Karen K NAE

1226

From: r2farns2@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 12:06 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Hi,

I am a consumer of renewable energy (through Green Start) and am in favor of this project. I also visit Nantucket Sound mostly for kayaking and this project won't change my appreciation of the area.

Thanks, Ron F.

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Ron Farnsworth
18 Brown Rd
Shirley, MA 014642700

1227

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Rybruscoe@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:40 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. Wind energy is very important. Especially now with the war in Iraq. It is more important to create and sustain our own renewable energy now than ever.

Ry Bruscoe
284 Suffolk St.
Holyoke, MA 010403345

1228

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: wolfie@absolutmetal.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 11:28 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is an option that deserves attention, for it is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the environment at the forefront.

Samantha Nickerson
29 Jackson St # 3F
Woonsocket, RI 028955924

1229

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: lbrody2230@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 12:37 PM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Larry Brody
19 Thayer St.
Milford, MA 017573107

1230

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: JanStClair@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 6:34 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Janice StClair
3 Michael Way
Cambridge, MA 021411437

1231

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: minerva379@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 6:51 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. The Earth is crying because we are destroying her. We need to find clean ways of producing energy that will not only benefit us but will benefit the Earth as well. Please do all you can to make sure that the Cape Wind Project receives the proper review that it deserves. Thank you.

Kim Makara
43 Hadley Rd.
Sunderland, MA 013759603

Adams, Karen K NAE

1232

From: makara79@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 6:53 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Matt Makara
43 Hadley Rd
Sunderland, MA 013759603

Adams, Karen K NAE

1233

From: jwiersma24@juno.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 7:17 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Jeff Wiersma
115 Oriole Drive
Whitinsville, MA 015881722

1234

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: nalexani@eve.assumption.edu
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 7:34 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Nancy R. Alexanian
144 Elm Street
Worcester,, MA 016091903

Adams, Karen K NAE

1235

From: erinjacque@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 7:40 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

There are many wealthy people who have summer homes and enjoy recreational activities on the cape who feel threatened by this project. I feel that improvement in the overall quality of life of all people in Massachusetts should take precedence over a few wealthy land owners/users who's views will be interrupted. Its time that health, safty and environment are put before a minority of upper class individuals. This state needs to prioritize clean energy. I support clean energy and hope you will too. Erin Jacque.

Erin Jacque
233 Stanley St.
Amherst, MA 010022612

1236

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: usbrowns@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 7:40 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

David Brown
55 Taunton St
Bellingham, MA 020191448

Adams, Karen K NAE

1237

From: xyz@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 7:57 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Cape Wind is a bad idea. I am a strong environmental supporter, but not at the expense of the natural beauty of the ocean. What can we be thinking? This is worse than a nuclear powerplant on dry land.

Please do not allow Cape Wind to build the windmills as currently planned.

I support wind energy -- if the windmills can be located so that they do not mar the beauty of a natural site. For example, near trees or near other industrial buildings.

Christine Olaksen
28 Pine Street
Wellesley, MA 024813225

1238

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: remoulton@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:04 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

As an avid kayaker in the estuaries in my area I enjoy the birds, fish and the solitude of the ocean in the early morning. Also as a veteran of the NAVY where I cruised the seas for 4 years I cannot see these wind farms being more intrusive than an oil or LNG tanker. With the issues in the Middle East we need to make that move to alternative fuels and quickly.

The ocean and its awesome power humbles me in front of my Maker and personally I don't think he/she would mind if we come up with an alternate power source to lessen our dependency on old fuels. The wind also belongs to the earth and like those other products was put here and just waiting for us to harness it. Let's make it a reality!

Richard Moulton
27 Anthony Road
Peabody, MA 019604033

1239

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: psilofyr@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:05 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Elias Marvinney
Everett St
Allston, MA 02134

1240

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: vlcek@taconic.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:19 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Bringing alternative energy sources online is essential to reduce our dependance on oil and the creation of greenhouse gases. Wind power is an excellent alternative, with only a minor impact on the environment. The Cape Wind Project has been studied and the report is in hand. Please have the appropriate legislataive bodies review it promptly and make an informed decision.

Christopher Vlcek
32 Mahawie St.
Gt. Barrington, MA 012301960

1241

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rlalli@mail.uri.edu
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:25 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. This is not about aesthetic value, this is about conserving our natural resources and actively switching to a renewable energy source. The coastal management issues have been well thought out. This is creative, smart, and I fully support this!

-A concerned Mass citizen
Rosanna Lalli
5 Holly Drive
East Longmeadow, MA 010282708

1242

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: serpa_sl@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:31 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

scott serpa
3R 1st St
Chelmsford, MA 018243903

1243

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tomewing@rcn.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:37 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

I strongly believe that it is in the public interest to approve the Cape Wind project. If we are to ever reduce the negative impact we have on the environment, we must carefully examine every opportunity to develop clean, renewable energy sources.

Thomas Ewing
108 Old mountain Rd
Leverett, MA 010549704

1244

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: landofspammy2000@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:39 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

casey clark

pamet

truro, MA 02666

1245

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: taraguild@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:39 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Tara Guild
PO Box 914
Conway, MA 013410914

1246

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: godmetal@aol.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 8:51 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Alternative energy sources are very important to me so that we don't have to rely on the middle east for petroleum. We should be using more geothermal, wind, and solar energies to our advantage rather than relying on natural gas, petroleum, and nuclear power.

Doug Shohan
95 Via Maria
Lee, MA 012389354

Adams, Karen K NAE

1247

From: cheymi@juno.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:13 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

chey hendra

47 Lincoln Avenue

Northampton, MA 010602323

1240

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: greylock13@adelphia.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 9:21 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

JOHN TREMBLAY
41 Church St
Cheshire, MA 012259614

Adams, Karen K NAE

1249

From: elliermg@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 5:29 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

The majority of creditable scientists agree that human beings are the cause of global warming. The people of Massachusetts therefore need an alternative to green house gases caused by humanity's flagrant use of oil and coal. The Cape Wind project is an important step to make an alternative possible. Let Massachusetts lead the way by allowing the creation of the Cape Wind Project. Thank you.

Eleanor Manire-Gatti
53 Iduna Ln
Amherst, MA 010023403

Adams, Karen K NAE

1250

From: maryannehebert@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 12:14 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts's energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Unfortunately, some of the public is likely to have been persuaded by some clever propaganda that belittles the benefits and magnifies the drawbacks of the Cape Wind Project. Please endorse a plan that is CRUCIAL to our SURVIVAL as a society; we must adopt environmentally sustainable methods of energy productions.

Mary Hebert
142 Strawberry Hill Rd
Centerville, MA 026323752

1251

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jeweler@mac.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 12:47 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront.

Judith Weiler
66 Taylor Road
Stow, MA 01775-1616

1252

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: bdempsey@berkshire.rr.com
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 1:12 AM
To: Energy, Wind
Subject: Massachusetts needs wind energy

Wind power is a promising choice for Massachusetts' energy future. We need to ensure that the Cape Wind Project receives a prompt and thorough review that keeps the public interest at the forefront. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THIS PROJECT IS ALL ON THE PLUS SIDE, TURNING AN UNUSED PHENOMENON INTO A RESOURCE AND REDUCING OUR DEPENDENCE ON FORIEGN OIL, REDUCING GREENHOUSE GASES, CURBING GLOBAL WARMING, AND DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGY FOR SAFE RENEWABLE ENERGY. SO WHAT IF IT'S VISIBLE FROM THE KENNEDY COMPOUND? WE NEED TO GO AHEAD WITH STUFF LIKE THIS.

BRIAN DEMPSEY
5 LORI CT
PITTSFIELD, MA 012017145